## STUDY PERFORMANCE REPORT

State: Michigan
Project No.: F-81-R-6

Study No.: $\underline{230646}$
Title: Inland creel surveys

Period Covered: October 1, 2004 to September 30, 2005
Study Objective: To provide a consistent series of guidelines, data collection methods, and timely analysis to fisheries managers and research biologists conducting access point creel surveys on inland waters.

Summary: Winter surveys were conducted on three lakes: Grand and Long lakes, and Peavy Pond. Open water surveys were conducted on eight sites: Black, Gogebic, Belleville, Maceday and Lotus, Murray, Campau, Paw Paw lakes, and Fletcher Pond; and two rivers: Boardman, and Tahquamenon rivers. Summary survey information is given in Table 1 for each survey site. All lake and river sites were surveyed to estimate angling pressure, harvest and catch by species. In addition, Grand, Long, Black, and Gogebic lakes, Peavy Pond, and Tahquamenon River were surveyed to evaluate the walleye fishery. Belleville, Murray, Campau, and Paw Paw lakes were surveyed to evaluate warmwater fishery. Maceday and Lotus lakes were surveyed to evaluate the trout fishery. Boardman River was surveyed to evaluate the effect of future dam removal on fish populations.

Effort and catch estimates were calculated for summer 2002 fisheries on Upper Grand River, Lower Grand River and Rogue River, Buck Creek and Coldwater River, Gull Lake, Muskallonge Lake, Sucker River, Cisco Thousand Island; summer 2003 fisheries on Upper Grand, Lower Grand and Rogue Rovers, Crockery Creek and three trout lakes (Half, Lime, Clear lakes), Green Lake, and Manistee River; summer 2004 fisheries on Kalamazoo River.

Findings: Jobs 1-8 were scheduled for 2004-05, and progress is reported below.
Job 1. Title: Examine creel survey sites.-Grand Lake and Long Lake were examined during 2004 survey season (Su 2004). Belleville, Maceday, and Lotus lakes were examined with field personnel. Field personnel examined other sites. Each site sampled during current survey season was examined to determine appropriate locations for counting and interviewing anglers, and sampling methods.

Job 2. Title: Sampling intensity, techniques, and proposed level of statistical significance.Statistical significance of $75 \%$ or greater was considered appropriate by all unit managers conducting surveys. Error bounds ( 2 SE ) were calculated for each estimate and provided statistical significance, depending on distribution shape and $N \geq 10$, of $75 \%$ to $95 \%$ (Dixon and Massey 1957). Rates of precision (mean/2 SE) were not predetermined for any of the surveys. Unless otherwise noted, all estimates in this report were $\pm 2$ SE.

Design and estimation methods used for surveys given in this report followed the multiple-day period (Lockwood et al. 1999). Survey planning in each instance followed general funding and supervisory procedures given in Lockwood (2000a). Survey design naming conventions followed those given Lockwood (2000b).

Job 3. Title: Prepare stratified-random schedules.-Schedules were prepared and distributed to appropriate personnel. All survey schedules were generated by the Creel Survey Designer program (Su, 2004).

General information for surveys given in this report is listed in Table 1. Work shifts and expansion values for these surveys are available in a database file. Instructions and schedules for these surveys are available on separate documents.

Job 4. Title: Train creel clerks.-A two-day training session was given to clerks. Written instructions were prepared for all surveys conducted during current segment. Management Unit personnel provided additional on-site training for clerks. Training descriptions for surveys conducted during previous survey season were given in Lockwood (2000a).

Job 5. Title: Survey inland waters.-Winter surveys were conducted on three lakes: Grand and Long lakes, and Peavy Pond. Open water surveys were conducted on eight sites: Black, Gogebic, Belleville, Maceday and Lotus, Murray, Campau, Paw Paw lakes, and Fletcher Pond; and two rivers: Boardman, and Tahquamenon rivers. Summary survey information is given in Table 1 for each survey site. All lake and river sites were surveyed to estimate angling pressure, harvest and catch by species. In addition, Grand, Long, Black, and Gogebic lakes, Peavy Pond, and Tahquamenon River were surveyed to evaluate the walleye fishery. Belleville, Murray, Campau, and Paw Paw lakes were surveyed to evaluate warmwater fishery. Maceday and Lotus lakes were surveyed to evaluate the trout fishery. Boardman River was surveyed to evaluate the effect of future dam removal on fish populations.

Job 6. Title: Supervise count and interview data processing, and quality control.-Count and interview data from current segment surveys were processed at the Institute for Fisheries Research. Additional range checking of all data was done at the Institute for Fisheries Research.

Job 7. Title: Calculate and distribute catch and pressure estimates.-Effort and catch estimates were calculated by the inland creel survey estimation program (MiCreel). This program is capable of reading in or querying creel survey data stored in plain text, Excel (.xls), dbase (.db), and Access database (.mdb) formats. The calculations of catch rate, effort, and catch estimates are based on Lockwood et al. (1999) multiple-day estimation methods.

Effort and catch estimates were calculated for summer 2002 fisheries on Upper Grand River, Lower Grand River/Rogue River, Buck Creek/Coldwater River, Gull Lake, Muskallonge Lake, Sucker River, Cisco Thousand Island; summer 2003 fisheries on Upper Grand, Lower Grand/Rogue Rovers, Crockery Creek/three trout lakes (Half, Lime, Clear lakes), Green Lake, and Manistee River; summer 2004 fisheries on Kalamazoo River.

Total effort and harvest estimates for survey site and season, harvest of dominate species, and species caught are given in Table 2. Detailed estimates for each species are available on separate database files.

Job 8. Title: Prepare annual report.-This report was prepared on schedule.
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Table 1.-Inland creel surveys conducted in the inland waters of Michigan from October 1, 2004 to October 31, 2005.

| Water body | County | Sites | Survey period | Method |  | Full time clerks (N) | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Count | Interview |  |  |
| Grand Lake | Alpena | Grand Lake | 12/1/04-3/31/05 | progressive | Roving | 1 | Only make interviews |
| Long Lake | Alpena | Long Lake | 12/1/04-3/31/05 | progressive | Roving | 1 | Only make interviews |
| Grand and Long lakes | Alpena | Grand and Long Lake | 12/1/04-3/31/05 | progressive | Roving | 1 | Only make counts |
| Peavy Pond | Iron | Peavy Pond | 12/16/04-2/28/05 | progressive | Roving | 1 |  |
| Black Lake | Cheboygan | Black Lake | 4/30/05-10/20/05 | air flight | Roving | 1 | Clerk also made a progressive count for half of the lake each shift |
| Fletcher Pond | Alpena | Fletcher Pond | 4/30/05-9/30/05 | progressive | Roving | 1 |  |
| Lake Gogebic | Gogebic and Ontonagon | Lake Gogebic | 5/15/05-9/30/05 | air flight | Roving | 1 | Clerk also made a progressive count for half of the lake each shift |
| Belleville Lake | Wayne | Belleville Lake | 4/1/05-10/31/05 | progressive | Roving \& Access | 1 |  |
| Maceday and Lotus lakes | Oakland | Maceday and Lotus Lake | 4/1/05-10/31/05 | progressive | Roving \& Access | 1 |  |
| Murray and Campau lakes | Kent | Murray and Campau Lake | 4/1/05-10/31/05 | progressive | Roving | 1/2 | Share time with Great Lakes survey |
| Paw Paw Lake | Berrien | Paw Paw Lake | 4/1/05-10/31/05 | progressive | Roving | 1/2 | Share time with Great Lakes survey |
| Tahquamenon River | Luce | Dollarville Dam and Boat Launch to Sage River (Site 445); <br> Sage River to Joy Island (Site 446) | 5/15/05-10/31/05 | progressive | Access (Site 445) <br> Roving (Site 446) | 1/2 | Share time with Great Lakes survey |
| Sucker River | Alger | River mouth to Whitewash | 4/1/05-5/14/05 | progressive | Access | 1/2 | Share time with Great Lakes survey |
| Boardman River | Grand Traverse | River mouth to downstream of Brown Bridge Pond; <br> Brown Bridge Pond to Forks | 4/25/05-9/30/05 | progressive | Access | 1 |  |

Table 2.-Effort (angler hours) and harvest estimates and their 2 standard errors (2 SE) in parentheses during 2002, 2003, and 2004.

| Water body | Season | Fishery | Angler <br> hours | Harvest | Top three species harvested |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Table 2.-Continued.

| Water body | Season | Fishery | Angler <br> hours | Harvest | Top three species harvested |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

