#### Appendix III

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission settlement agreement between Consumers Power Company, Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Michigan State Historic Preservation Officer, United States Department of Interior—Fish and Wildlife Service, United States Department of Interior—National Parks Service, and United States Department of Agriculture—Forest Service.

United States of America

Hefore The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Project No. 2451 (Ragers)	Project No. 2452 (Hardy)	Project No. 2468 (Croton)	Project No. 2448 (Mio)	Project No. 2447 (Alcona)	Project No. 2449 (Loud)	Project No. 2453 (Five Channels)	Project No. 2450 (Cooke)	Project No. 2436 (Foote)	Project No. 2599 (Hodenpyl)	Project No. 2580 (Tippy)
24	24	24	24	24	4	7	24	24	52	25
ĕ	No.	Š	Ä.	Š	ě	Š	ě	ĕ.	₹.	Ão.
Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project	Project
Consumers Power Company)	-	-		•	^	^	~	^	~	~

Page

Page

TABLE OF CONTENTS

4.7 Lease/License Instrument	4.8 Lease/License Inspections	4.9 Lease/License Upgrades		5.0 Downstream Fish Protection	5.1 Funding Capital Costs	5.2 Contracting Consulting Firm	5.1 Annual Contributions for Figheries Enhancements	5.4 Fish Protection Implementation	5.5 Effectiveness of Pish Protection		6.0 Mater Quality	6,1 Funding Capital Costs	6.2 Evaluation of Engineering Concepts	6.3 Development/Implementation of General Monitoring Plan	6.4 Recording Instrumentation	6.5 Limits for Rogers and Hardy	6.6 Limits for Other Projects	6.7 Temperature Adjustment for All Projects	6.8 Patitions to WRC	6.9 Liquidated Damages	7.0 Historical f Archaeological Resources
1.0 Jurisdiction	1.1 Offer of Settlement		2.0 Effect of Offer of Settlement	2.1 Execution of Settlement	2.2 Issues Addressed 2	2.3 SettIement Modifications 2	2.4 Rate Recovery of Expenditures	2.5 Environmental and Matural Resource Issues Addressed 3		3.0 Parties Bound	3.1 Settlement Applicability 4	3.2 Mater Quality Certification 4	3.3 Funds Allocated for Capital Costs	4.0 Land Management	4.1 Development and Implementation 5	4.2 Issues Addressed for Each River System	4.3 Development of Recreation Management Section 6	4.4 Capital Costs for Additional Facilities 6	4.5 Candidate New Pacilities/Proposed Improvements	(Refers to Appendix A)	4.6 Leases and Licenses of Project Lands

11

= = =

12 13

15 15 16

13

7.1 Provisions for Mitigation and Enhancement

7.2 Archaeological Costs

Page	25	25	25	26	38	58		78	28	25	29	53	30		30	30	31		1	ű	32	33	33		
	12.0 Project Coordinators	12.1 Primary Responsibility for Implementation of Settlement	12.2 Steering Committee	12.3 MMAC Team Established	12.4 MDNR Cost Statement	12.5 MDWR Reimbursement		13.0 <u>Supmissions and Approvals</u>	13.1 Procedure of Communication	13.2 Delivery of Plans, Submissions and Reports	13.3 Bignifying Approvals and Deficiencies	13.4 Notice of Disapproval	13.5 Definition of Agency Approval		14.0 Disputes	14.1 Procedures for Dispute Resolution	14.2 Dispute Resolution		15.0 Liquidated Damages	15.1 Amounts for Damages	15.2 Accrual Date	15.3 Payment	15.4 FERC/Federal Power Act		:
au.											<del>-</del>			-											
Page	7.3 CRMP Contents 19	R.O. Revolution Wastilities		a.t functing capital coets	C Tieh Decrees of the Control of the		9.2 Biological Design Parameters	9.3 Prescriptive Authority of Federal Power Act, Sec 18 21		Multiple Projects	ESS IN CRAME ON A		10.0 Project Boundaries	10.1 Maintenance of Consumers Power Company Owned Lands 22	10.2 Inclusion of National Forest Lands	18.3 Responsibility for Injuries	10.4 Responsibility for Enforcement Activities 23	10.5 Obligation for Management Activities	10.6 Liabilities of MDNR, SHPO, USFGWS & NPS 23		11.0 Retirement Studies and Trust Fund			ation	

TABLE OF CONTRICTS

Page
33
34
34
34
35

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page		Page
23.5 Submission of Operation Report	46 30	30.0 Cooke Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation	20
23.6 Evaluation of Report (Run-of-River Flows)	46	10.1 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	80
		30.2 FERC Annual Maintenance and Inspections	20
24.0 Alcona Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation	45	30.3 Permits for Exceeding Limits	90
24.1 Surface Water Elevation During Normal Operation	46		
24.2 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	47 31	31.0 Foote Project Operation	20
		31.1 Operation of Foote to Re-regulate Cocke	20
25.0 Loud Project Operation	47	31.2 Surface Water Elevation During Mormal Operations	19
25.1 Surface Mater Elevation During Normal Operation	47	31.3 Development of Re-regulation Plan	51
		11.4 Submission of Plan for Review/Approval	51
26.0 Loud Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation	48	11.5 Evaluation of Report	52
26.1 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	48	31.6 Installation/Maintenance of Flow Gauging	25
26.2 Permits for Exceeding Limits	48		
	32.	32.0 Foote Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation	52
27.0 Five Channels Project Operation	88	32.1 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	25
27.1 Surface Water Elevation During Normal Operations	48	32.2 PERC Annual Inspections or Maintenance	53
		32.3 Permits for Exceeding Limits	53
28.0 Five Channels Project Reservoir Surface Mater Elevation	49		
28.1 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	49 33.	33.0 Hodenpyl Project Operations	53
28.2 Permits for Exceeding Limits	49	33.1 Installation/Maintenance of Flow Gauging	53
28.3 Permits for Exceeding Limits	49	33.2 Definition of Approximately Equals	2
		33.3 Limits of Flow Pluctuations	54
29.0 Cooke Project Operation	-67	31.4 Manual Operation Testing Plan	54
29.1 Surface Water Blevation During Normal Operations	49	33.5 Submission of Written Report	54
		33.6 Evaluation of Report (Run-of-River Flows)	55

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page		Page
rotation D rotation of the first transfer of	r r	ADDRANTY B	61
4.0 hodenpy, froled Keberroll bullate mater cratter	ì		;
34.1 Surface Water Elevation During Mormal Operations	55	LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS	61
34.2 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	sh sh	<ul> <li>I. Facilities/Enhancements - Manistee River</li> </ul>	9
		<ol> <li>Facilities/Enhancements - Au Sable River</li> </ol>	63
5.0 Tippy Project Operations	56	<pre>III. Facilities/Enhancements - Muskegon River</pre>	59
35.1 Installation/Maintenance of Plow Gauging	56		
35.2 Flow Limitations	56	Appendix B	73
35.3 Limits of Flow Fluctuations	56	LAND/LEASE, MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS	73
15.4 Manual Operation Testing Plan	57	Campgrounds	73
35.5 Submission of Operation Report	57	Boating Access Sites	73
35.6 Evaluation of Report (Run-of-River Flows)	57	Swimming Beach/Picnic Area	ĘĹ
		Marinas	7
6.0 Lippy Project Reservoir Surface Water Blevation	58		
36.1 Burface Water Elevations During Normal Operations	86	Appendix C	7.5
36.2 Drawdown/Refill During Periods of Maintenance	88	WATER OUALITY, SEDIMENT QUALITY AND FISH CONTAMINANT	
		MONITORING PROGRAM	75
7.0 Strongch Dam Management	58	Water Quality Monitoring	75
37.1 Parties Agree	88	Impoundment Sediment Sampling	76
37.2 Method of Removal and Funding	59	Fish Contaminants	77
pproval Request	09		

### OFFER OF SETTLEMENT

#### .0 Jurisdiction

1.1 This OFFER OF SETTLEMENT ("SETTLEMENT") is entered into projects and the United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service ("USFS"), the United States Department of Interior Fish Park Service ("NPS"), and the Michigan State Historic Preservation Officer ("SHPO") pursuant to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission ("FERC") rule, 18 CFR Section 385.502. The "resource agencies" are voluntarily by and between the "parties," Consumers Power Company 11 FERC-licensed and Wildlife Service ("USPEMS"), the Michigan Department of Natural Resources ("MDNR"), the United States Department of Interior National This Settlement concerns the resolution of project operation, fish passage, project boundaries, land management, water quality, downstream fish protection, historical and archeological resource management, soil erosion control, threatened, endangered and sensitive species management and establishment retirement funds for the hydroelectric projects and other matters. ("CPCo"), the licensee applying for new licenses for defined as USPS, USF&WS and the MDNR.

## 2.0 Effect of Offer of Settlement

it constitutes a negotiated settlement of issues in the above-captioned proceedings, and no party to the Settlement shall be deemed to have approved, admitted, accepted, agreed to or otherwise consented to any operation, management, valuation or other principle underlying or

supposed to underlie any of the matters herein, except as expressly provided herein. Further, the parties agree that this Sattlement shall not be used as a precedent or as an edmission with regard to any issue dealt with in the Settlement.

- 2.2 For those issues addressed in this Settlement, parties other communicate to FERC any license condition other than those provided for The USFS agrees not to the FERC any license condition other than those provided for herein except to the extent that ("NEPA") results in mandatory license conditions pursuant to \$ 4(s) of Pederal Power Act. This section shall not be read to predetermine outcome of the required NEPA analysis. However, if such MEPA contained herein, the parties recognize that such an addition would or otherwise trigger the rights of the parties to withdraw from this agreement analysis leads to the addition of any license conditions beyond its analysis under the National Environmental Policy Act than the USFS agree not to propose, mandate, support herein, except as provided for in Paragraph 9.3. propose, support or otherwise communicate to pursuant to Paragraph 2.3.
- 2.3 This Settlement shall become effective upon issuance by FERC of "final" orders accepting this Settlement without modification or condition and issuing licenses in accordance with the Settlement for the li hydro electric projects dealt with herein. If FERC issues orders accepting the Settlement with modifications or conditions, this Settlement shall be considered modifications to the terms of those orders unless at least one party indicates to the other parties in writing within 30 days after the issuence of such orders its objection

to the orders and its withdrawal from the Settlement. If any party so withdraws, this Settlement shall cease to have any force or effect except for Paragraph 2.1. If this Settlement is modified to conform to the terms of FERC orders, as discussed above, it shall become effective once those orders become "final" as of the date rehearing is denied, or li rehearing is not applied for, the date on which the right to seek rehearing expires. The terms of this Agreement shall continue in effect, subject to the FERC's reserved authority under the licenses to require modifications, until the earlier of the expiration of a new license (plus the term of any annual license) issued by the FERC or the effective date of any FERC order approving surrender of a project under Section 6 of the Federal Power Act.

- expended, as a result of this Settlement, balance economics and environmental stewardship and that rate-recovery of those amounts will not be denied by the Michigan Public Service Commission ("MPSC") or, where appropriate, by FERC. All parties concur that the Settlement fairly and appropriately addresses the environmental and natural resource issues covered by this Settlement and associated with the relicensing of CPCo's 11 hydroelectric projects by FERC. The resource agencies will, if requested, support this Settlement before the MPSC and FERC as fairly and appropriately addressing environmental and natural resource issues.
- 2.5 CPCo shall prepare a draft schedule for implementing the studies, plans and actions called for in this Settlement. The schedule shall specify dates for initiation, progress reporting and completion

for each study, plan, or action and shall include milestones for major activities. A draft schedule shall be submitted to the resource agencies for review in accordance with Section 13 not later than 90 days after execution of this Settlement by the parties.

#### 1.0 Parties Bound

- and their successors and assigns. However, no party shall be bound by any part of this Settlement except with regard to the above-captioned licensing proceedings and then only if the Settlement is approved and made effective as provided for in Paragraph 2.3. No change in corporate status of CPCo shall in any way alter CPCo's responsibilities under this Settlement. Each signatory to this Settlement certifies that he or she is authorized to execute this Settlement and legally bind the party he or she represents.
- issue for each project, within 90 days from the signing of this Settlement, a water quality certificate that is in conformance with the water quality terms [Sections 6, 8, 15 (as It pertains to Sections 6, 8, 16 and Appendix C), 16 and Appendix C] and the operation conditions (Sections 17 through 36 inclusive) of this Settlement, any party may withdraw from this Settlement and need not comply with its terms. The parties shall have up to 30 days from the date of certificate issuance (or up to 30 days after the end of the 90-day pariod if fewer than 11 certificates are issued) to withdraw from this Settlement. If the WRC issues water quality certificates in conformance with the above listed

mections of this Settlement, for all projects, CPCs agrees not to contest the issuance of the certificates for those projects.

planning, design, construction and preoperational testing), except for downstream flah protection, can be utilized by CPCO for other capital costs covered by this Settlement after consulting with the resource agencies (and with the SHPO regarding funds provided for in Paragraph 7.1) and approval from PERC. Unexpended funds not needed for the implementation of this Settlement may be retained by CPCO after consulting with the resource agencies and approval from PERC.

### 4.0 Land Management

- 4.1 CPCo shall, in consultation with the resource agencies, develop and implement Land Management Plans for its hydroelectric projects on the AuSable, Manistee and Muskegon River systems.
- 4.2 Each Land Management Plan (Plan), one for each river system, shall include the following sections: recreation; Federal and State threatened, endangered, candidate and sensitive species; wildlife and their habitat; and forestry. The Plans shall also include a CPCo staffing section providing for a minimum of four (4) full time natural resource employees to implement the Plans. The Plans, including implementation schedules, shall be submitted to and reviewed by the resource agencies prior to submittal for approval by PERC, as provided for in Section 13. Upon FERC approval of a Land Management Plan, CPCo shall implement that Plan.

- 4.3 The Recreation Management Sections of the Plane vill be developed by CPCo in consultation with the resource agencies and local communities, and shall address future recreation needs over the term of the new licenses including lease management, use administration, facility development, resource protection, operation and maintenance of recreational facilities, recreation signing and site plans.
- 4.4 CPCo shall fund capital costs in the amount of \$2.5 million in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the Consumers Price Index (CPI)) for study, design and construction of additional recreational facilities Operation and included in the \$2.5 million. The OSM costs of \$132,000 for MDNB and \$183,000 for USFS managed facilities identified in Appendix A whall be new licenses pursuant to this Settlement, the MDMR and USFS will provide remitted to the respective resource agencies by October 1 annually, upon license issuance, for use in the ensuing fiscal year. The resource agencies OLM costs are in 1992 dollars to be adjusted annually based on Mo later than December 1 of each year after issuance of the and USFS managed facilities identified in Appendix A and the next year's payment by CPCo shall be adjusted to reflect any unexpended amounts from CPCo with a written statement of the prior year's OaM costs for the maintenance (06M) costs related to the Land Management Plans or facility improvements in accordance with the Plans. a previous year. planning,
- 4.5 Candidate new recreational facilities and proposed improvements to existing recreational facilities, are listed in Appendix A. The final list of recreational facility improvement and construction will be developed in the recreation section of the Land Management Plans

Dased on: Appendix A; compatibility with other sepects of the Land Management Plans listed in Peragraph 4.2; consultation with the resource agencies, the NPS, and the public; and the ongoing CPCo recreation use study being conducted in response to the FERC additional information requests dated May 21, 1992.

- 4.6 Prior to issuance by CPCo of any new leases (in this Settlement "leases" shall include licenses CPCo may grant for the use of project lands) or renewals of existing leases of hydroslectric project lands as defined by Section 10, CPCo shall consult with the resource
- 4.7 CPCo shall develop a revised lease instrument(s), in consultation with the resource agencies, to provide for management control of each lease. CPCo shall develop the instrument(s) in accordance with applicable government standards, USFS special use permits and applicable Appendix B requirements. CPCo shall obtain resource agencies review of the lease instrument(s) prior to use.
- 4.8 CPCo shall develop a lease inspection form based on the revised lease instrument provided for in Paragraph 4.7. CPCo shall subsequently inspect each leased recreational facility for compliance with the revised lease instrument provided for in Paragraph 4.7. These comprehensive inspections shall be completed within 18 months of each project's license issuance.
- 4.9 CPCo shall upgrade existing lease instruments to requirements specified in Paragraph 4.7 and shall require each lessee to upgrade

facilities to meet the revised lease conditions as soon as practicable, but for leases that expire prior to January 1, 1994, not later than 10 years after each project's license issuance.

### 5.0 Downstream Fish Protection

- projects on the AuSable, Manistee and Muskegon Rivers. The allocation 5.1 CPCo shall study, plan, design, construct, operate and maintain fish entrainment protection devices or measures in accordance with this Section. For these 11 hydroslectric projects, the parties agree that fish protection, where practicable, is preferred to the CPCo shall fund capital costs in the amount of \$5 million in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the CPI) to study, plan, design and construct fish protection devices or measures in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 5.2 at its of the \$5 million among the projects will depend on the results of the evaluation in Paragraph 5.2. Operation and maintenance costs related to the fish protection devices and measures are not included in the \$5 If less than the \$5 million is spent on studying, planning and constructing fish protection devices or measures as a result of the inability to obtain \$5 million and utilize it for the contributions required by Peragraph PERC approval, per Paragraph 5.2, CPCo shall retain the balance of million. All submittals shall follow procedures in Section 13. annual contributions called for in Paragraph 5.3.
- 5.2 CPCG shall contract with consulting firm(s) experienced in the design and installation of downstream fish protection devices at hydroelectric projects to evaluate designs, applicability, costs and

effectiveness of fish protection devices or measures for installation at of the FIRC license for each of CPCo's hydroelectric projects. Within agencies review, in accordance with Section 13, 90 days after issuance twelve (12) months of resource agencies review of the firm(s), CPCo shall complete an evaluation of potential measures and devices at each agencies recommend fish protection device installation, CPCo shall make application to FERC within 180 days of The evaluation results shall be When the resource design and installation. Upon FERC approval of the final design, CPCo receipt of the resource agencies recommendation. When PERC approves protactive measures, CPCo shall within 90 days, begin contracting shall apply for necessary permits and proceed with installation. for the of its recommended consulting firm(s) provide resource agencies for review. shall CPCo of the 11 hydroelectric projects. hydroelectric project. (subject to Section 14) the qualifications provided to each

5.3 Beginning with the effective date of the FERC license for each hydroelectric project, CPCo shall annually contribute the following amounts in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the CPI) to the State of Michigan Habitat Improvement Account to be used for the following activities: fisheries habitat restoration or enhancement, preparing comprehensive river management plans, aquatic studies, fisheries recreation, water quality improvement and soil erosion control activities on the AuSable, Manistee and Muskegon Rivers.

als a	\$ 55,000	\$ 30,000	\$ 43,000	\$105,000	\$177,000	\$ 58,000
Au Sable	Hio	Alcona	Loud	5 Channels	Foote	Cooke
<u>588</u>	\$11,000	\$34,000				
Manistee	Hodenpyl \$11,000	Tippy				
uo	9,000	6,000	47,000			
Muskegon	₩.	40	w			
Ma	Rogers	Hardy	Croton			

Contributions made in accordance with this paragraph shall be by check payable to the State of Michigan by October 1st of each year for Environmental Protection Division for deposit to the State of Michigan t i Settlement is in place and one or more of the units associated with the projects listed in Paragraph 5.3 are not operating due to maintenance, or other scheduled or unscheduled outages, the payments shall be Mabitat Improvement Account. For any pariod of time in which charge thereof, and forwarded to the Assistant Attorney General in portion or any period, adjusted downward accordingly. the previous 12-month apan

5.4 Each year, MDMR will consult in advance with USFEWS, USFS and CPCo regarding the expenditure of contributions made pursuant to Paragraph 5.3 and liquidated damages assessed pursuant to Paragraph 6.9 prior to MDMR authorizing an activity. The MDMR need not obtain FEMC approval of an activity, unless it would require modification of one of the 11 licenses, and will provide an annual accounting report to PEMC, USFWS and CPCo of expenditures made from these funds by December 1 of each year.

the annual contribution specified in Paragraph 5.3 for such project, the annual contribution specified in Paragraph 5.3 for such project shall be reduced based upon the effectiveness of the flah protection. The effectiveness of the fish protection will be determined by comparing the results of the preapplication fish entrainment and mortality studies with a single, one-year study of similar scope performed after the fish protection measures are installed. CPCo shall provide all study plans, study results and recommended contribution changes to the resource agencies as provided for in Section 13. If CPCo subsequently modifies the fish protection, CPCo may conduct an additional study(iss) to resestablish the amount of future contributions.

#### .0 Water Cuality

6.1 CPCo shall study, plan, design, construct, operate and maintain water quality enhancements in accordance with this section.

CPCo shall fund capital costs in the amount of \$1.75 million in 1992 dollars (as adjusted for the CPI) for study, planning, design and construction of water quality enhancements, including dissolved oxygen (0.0.) enhancement measures and temperature enhancement measures as described herein. Operation and maintenance costs related to the enhancement measures are not included in the \$1.75 million.

6.2 After installation of water quality monitoring instruments pursuant to Paragraphs 6.4 and 8.1, CPCo will evaluate the water temperature and D.O. data received from the monitoring devices and shall submit a water temperature and D.O. evaluation to the resource agencies. The evaluation shall be for the purpose of determining whether a project

will attain the water quality limits specified in Paragraphs 6.5 and attained by: 1) increasing the volume of cooler water passing through can be the plant turbines during the summer months; and/or 2) engineering or comments to CPCo within 45 days of receipt. For any project whose compliance with the limits of Paragraphs 6.5 and 6.6 will improve from an increase in qualification(s) of recommended consulting firm(s) experienced in the design and installation of measures for: 1) increasing tha volume of cooler water to be passed through the project turbines during the summer months; and/or 2) increasing D.O. concentrations through engineering or as appropriate, for resource agencies review. designs, applicability and costs of D.G. and/or water temperature enhandement measures at each hydroelectric project that has not met the The results of the evaluation shall be provided to the resource egencies for review and comment. If the resource agencies recommend a field test complete an evaluation of applicable water quality limits specified in Paragraphs 6.5 and 6.6. to evaluate a measure for increasing the volume of cooler water or D.O., within 180 days of receipt of the resource agencies recommendation. When FERC approves the field test or the measure, CPCo, within 90 days, operational measures to increase downstream D.O. concentrations. name (8) or recommend installation of such a measure, CPCo shall (subject limits, the evaluation will also analyze whether the limits For those projects that have not attained the water dispute resolution process in Section 14) make application agencies review, resource agencies will review the evaluation and provide or D.O., CPCo shall provide the Within eighteen (18) months of the resource contract with the consulting firm(s) and operational measures, **Vater** 

shall apply for necessary permits and approvals and begin contracting for the field test or the installation.

- 6.3 CPCo shall develop and implement, in consultation with the resource agencies, a water quality, fish contaminant and sediment quality monitoring program as outlined in Appendix C.
- 6.4 CPCo shall contract with the United States Geological Survey (USGS) pursuant to Paragraph 8.1 for the installation of continuous recording instruments at locations reviewed by the resource agencies both upatream and below the discharge from each of its hydroelectric projects to monitor water temperatures and D.O. concentrations. Water temperature and D.O. data shall be recorded on the hour and be provided to the resource agencies on a quarterly basis.
- 6.5 The following water quality limits apply to the Rogers and Hardy Projects when flows are greater than or equal to monthly 95% exceedance flows:
- A. Monthly average temperature downstream of either project shall not exceed the following temperatures (  $^{\circ}F$ ).

J F M A M J J R S O N D 38 38 41 56 70 80 83 81 74 64 49 39 B. CPCo shall not warm the Muskegon River below either project greater than a monthly average of 5°F above the temperature measured upstream of the project.

- c. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the project tailwatere shall not be less than 5 milligrams per liter (mg/l) at any time unless CPCo demonstrates to the WRC that these D.O. limits are not attainable through further feasible and prudent measures or the variation between the daily average and daily minimum D.O. concentrations in the river exceeds 1 mg/l. If the WRC agrees with CPCo's demonstration, D.O. concentrations in project tailwaters shall not be less than 4 mg/l at any time or less than 5 mg/l as a daily average during the warm weather season (June through September) until such time as the WRC causes the preparation and implementation of a comprehensive plan to upgrade these waters to 5 mg/l at any time.
- D. CFCo shall prepare operating procedures to address water quality conditions which deviate from the above limits.
- 6.6 The following water quality limits apply to the Croton, Mio, Alcona, Loud, Pive Channels, Cooke, Focts, Hodenpyl and Tippy Projects when flows are greater than or equal to monthly 95% exceedance flows:
- A. Monthly average temperature downstream of the projects shall not exceed the following temperatures  $\{\cdot \mathbf{r}\}:$

J F M A M J J A S O N D 38 38 43 54 65 68 68 68 63 56 48 40 B. CPCo shall not warm the river below any project greater than a monthly everage of 2°F above the temperature as measured upstream of the project.

- c. Dissolved oxygen concentrations in the project tailwaters shall not be less than 7 mg/l at any time unless CPCo demonstrates to the WRC that these b.D. limits are not attainable through further feasible and prudent measures or the variations between the daily average and daily minimum 0.0. concentrations in the river exceeds 1 mg/l. If the WRC agrees with CPCD's demonstration, D.O. concentrations in project tailwaters shall not be less than 6 mg/l at any time during the warm weather season (June through September) until such time as the WRC causes preparation and implementation of a comprehensive plan to upgrade these waters to 7 mg/l at any time.
- D. CPCo shall prepare operating procedures to address water quelity conditions which deviate from the above limits.
- this Settlement may be exceeded for short periods with approval from WAC when natural water temperatures measured upstream of the project exceed the ninetieth percentile occurrence of natural water temperatures (the monthly average temperatures in Paragraphs 6.5.A and 6.6.A are the ninetieth percentile values plus the temperature increases allowed in Paragraphs 6.5.B and 6.6.B). In all cases, temperature increases shall not be greater than the natural water temperature as measured upstream of the project plus the increase allowed, respectively, in Paragraphs 6.5.B and 6.6.B.
- 6.8 Any party to this Settlement may petition the WRC during every fifth year after the signing of this Settlement, to modify the D.D. or temperature limits contained herein and in the State Water Quality

Certification to ensure the protection of the public health, velfare, safety, and the natural resources of the State of Michigan, including the fishery resources.

this Section, MDMR may assess the following liquidated damages for damages to the natural resources for non-compliances that occur more than two years after installation of the monitoring equipment required in Paragraphs 6.4 and 8.1 or more than three years from license issuence, whichever is earlier. The MDMR shall not assess liquidated damages for any non-compliance under both this Settlement and the Mater Quality Certificate. Payment shall be made in the manner and be used for the purposes provided in Paragraph 5.3.

Liquidated damages shall accrue during the pendency of any dispute, but payment of such damages shall be stayed until the dispute is resolved or the WRC issues its final determination in accordance with Section 14, whichever is sarlier.

A. For exceedances of temperature limits:
Liquidated Damages Per
Temperature Exceedance(s)
Per Month/Per Project

- (1) Damages may only be assessed at any project where temperature exceedance(s) under Paragraphs 6.5.A or 6.6.A have occurred in two or more months in any calendar year. In the event exceedances occur in two or more months, damages may be assessed for the first two months of exceedance and every month of exceedance thereafter.
- (2) Damages may only be assessed at any project where temperature exceedance(s) under Paragraphs 6.5.B or 6.6.B have occurred in two or more months in any calendar year above the upstream water temperature. In the event exceedances occur in two or more months, damages may be assessed for the first two months of exceedance and every month of exceedance thereafter.
- (3) The damages in any given month at any project shall not be greater than \$3,000 for temperature exceedances.
- B. For non-compliance of D.O. limits:

e(s) Liquidated Da	Project Per Day	oat \$	re \$ 200
Non-compliance(s)	Per Month/Per Project	1 - 12	13 or more

d Damage≨

(1) Demages may only be assessed in any month at any project where D.O. non-compliance has occurred on three or more days in that month. In the event non-compliance occurs on three or more days, damages may be assessed for the first three days and every day thereafter.

(2) Damages in any given month at any project shall not be greater than \$3,000 for D.O. non-compliances.

## 7.0 Historical & Archaeplogical Resources

7.1 CPCo shall provide a total of \$1 million in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the CPI) to provide for historical and archaeological (cultural) resource evaluation, mitigation and enhancement activities. All such activities will be conducted in accordance with the provisions Commission, The Advisory Council On Historic Preservation (Council), The USDA Forest Service Huron-Manistee National Forests And The Michigan Regulatory State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) And Consumers Power Company Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, The Advisory Council On Historic For The Management of Historic Properties Affected By Consumers Power Company Mydroelectric Projects" and "Programmatic Agreement Among The Consumers Power Company For The Management Of Historic Properties Programmatic Agreement will provide for compliance with requirements of Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, as amended, by outlining general provisions for the treatment of historic properties and requiring CPCo to prepare Cultural Resource Management Plans (CRMPs) project covered by this Settlement in consultation with the Affected By Consumers Power Company Hydroelectric Projects." of the "Programmatic Agreement Among The Pederal Energy Preservation, The Michigan State Historic Preservation USPS, the SHPO and the Council. for each

- 7.2 Costs for development of the CRMPs and completion of remaining prelicense Phase I Archaeological Surveys are not included in the \$1 million.
- 7.3 CPCO shall utilize the funds identified in Paragraph 7.1 to implement the CRMPs. Each CRMC will provide for: future identification needs, the proper management of any identified or unidentified cultural property, cultural resource activity reporting requirements, procedures for the treatment and disposition of cultural and human remains and cultural resource interpretive activities. Within twelve months of new license issuance for each project and prior to filing for PERC approval in accordance with the Programmatic Agreement, CPCO will submit each CRMP to the SMPO, USFS where applicable, and the Council for review.

# 8.0 Stream Gauging and Water Quality Monitoring Facilities

8.1 CPCO shall fund capital costs in the amount of \$500,000 in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the CPI) to construct new or upgrade existing stream flow gauging and water quality monitoring facilities, including telemetry, to support run-of-river operations and monitor water quality at certain CPCo hydroelectric projects covered under this Settlement. Upon approval of the FERC, CPCo shall contract with the USGS for the installation, upgrading, maintenance and operation of the flow gauging and water quality monitoring stations required under this Settlement.

### 9.0 Fish Passage Structures

- 9.1 CPCo shall provide for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of fish passage structures (upstream and associated downstream) at each hydroelectric project subject to the following conditions:
- A) For a given project, a comprehensive river management plan which demonstrates the appropriateness of flah passage has been developed by the MDMR with the USFS, USFEMS and public input, and approved by the Michigan Natural Resources Commission.
- B) The USFS does not object to fish passage based on the provisions of the Huron-Hanistee National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, and the USFWWS, after consultation under the Section 7 authority of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, does not object to fish passage.
- C) The FERC approves such structures.
- 9.2 Once conditions in Paragraphs 9.1 A and B have been met for a hydroelectric project, the resource agencies will provide to CPCo a list of fish species to be passed and all necessary biological design parameters for the fish passage facilities to be constructed at that hydroelectric project. CPCo shall, within 12 months thereafter, submit a design plan for resource agencies review prior to submittal for approval by FERC, as provided for in Section 13.

- under Section 18 of the Federal Power Act, 16 USC Section 811, to prescribe flahvays after the issuance of new licenses, and will not invoke this authority, or make recommendations pursuant to the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act for implementing fish passage, until conditions of Paragraphs 9.1 A and 8, and 9.2 are met.
- etructures no later than 24 months after the FERC approves a design plan. Prior to completing construction of a structure, CPCO shall submit an operation and maintenance plan and a performance evaluation plan (OMPEP) for resource agencies review prior to submittal for approval by the FERC, as appropriate or required, as provided for in Section 13. CPCO shall implement the OMPEP upon FERC approval and completion of fish passage construction.
- 9.5 If more than one hydroelectric project meets the above conditions at the same time, within 12 months of FERC approval of the fish passage design plan for the first hydroelectric project, CPCo shall prepare and submit for the resource agencies review and FERC approval, an implementation schedule for the next project to be modified for fish passage. This process would be repeated until all hydroelectric projects meeting the above requirements are modified.
- 9.6 CPCo shall modify a fish passage structure and/or the project operation, if necessary, to meet the biological design parameters for the fish passage facility. Any structural modification of the fish

passage facility shall follow consultation with the resource agencies and shall be subject to FERC approval, as appropriate or required.

### 10.0 Project Boundaries

- 10.1 CPCo shall maintain within each hydroelectric project boundary all CPCo owned lands that were within the hydroelectric project boundary as of January 1, 1992. In addition, where Mational Forest system lands join the margin of the reservoir, CPCo shall include within the hydroelectric project boundary 200 ft of Mational Forest system land measured horizontally from the reservoir edgs at normal maximum surface elevation (high water mark).
- 10.2 The USFS agrees that the inclusion of the additional National Forest land, above the high water mark within the project boundaries, shall have no effect on the existing Federal Power Act, Section 4(s), conditioning Authority of the Secretary of Agriculture, with respect to the CPCo projects covered by this Settlement, and shall not create such suthority where none presently exists.
- property, flora or fauna on National Forest lands included in a CPCo project boundary, except in the case of gross negligence or willful misconduct by CPCo or CPCo employees. In no event will the liability of the USPS extend beyond that provided for in the Federal Tort Claims Act (28 USC Section 2671 through 2680).

10.4 CPCo shall not be responsible for any enforcement activities related to Federal laws or regulations on the National Forest land within the project boundary, except as required by the FERC under the provisions of the Federal Power Act.

10.5 Upon the Matlonal Forest System lands included within the hydromlectric project boundary as described above, the obligation of CPCs for management activities shall be limited to those activities specifically agreed to through the land management plan process outlined in Section 4 except as required pursuant to the Federal Power Act. Such joint wildlife habitat enhancement activities, joint recreational performed in cooperation with the USFS; the dissemination of information facility improvements, and joint watershed improvement projects recreation users regarding recreational opportunities and regulations; and providing information to USPS managers about recreation user statistics and observed violations of applicable regulations. CPCo persons within said but not be limited project boundary that results splely from actions or inactions of USPS and CPCo shall not be responsible for injury to any persom or be jointly agreed to by activity basis and shall generally include, responsibilities vill

10.6 By entry into this Sattlement, the MDNR, the SHPO, USP&WS, and the MFS shall not be considered to have approved any alteration of the legal liabilities of CPCo or the USPS under Paragraphs 10.3 through 10.5.

## 11.0 Retirement Studies and Trust Fund

11.1 It is the intent of the parties to seek the establishment of trust funds that would ensure that funds are available for proper future management of each project upon retirement from power production.

11.2 Ten years after license issuance, CPCo will begin consulting = Within sim (6) thereafter, CPCo will submit the study plans to the PERC for approval. Within twenty-four (24) months after approval of the plans by PERC, CPCo shall complete the studies called for by the plans, unless 5 completion of the studies, CPCo shall submit study reports to the PERC and resource agencies. In its first retail and wholesale general change of rate filings following completion of the studies, CPCo shall include costs related to the establishment of trust funds to collect from partial project removal, or 1) complete project removal at each of the 11 projects. If the MPSC or PERC does not approve CPCo's rates insofar such costs in each successive retail and wholesale general change of rate filing unless the Steering Committee believes making such a CPCo ratepayers, shall be beneficiary of the trust funds unless ratepayars the coats of: 1) permanent non-power operation, or 2) proposal would be unproductive. The State of Michigan on behalf of the permanent non-power operation, 2) partial project removal, or with the resource agencies on a plan for studying the costs of: the PERC shall establish a different period for study completion, CPCo shall complete project removal at each of the 11 projects. as they reflect coats related to the trust funds, otherwise directed by the MPSC or PERC. months

11.3 Nothing herein shall be construed as creating any obligation on the part of CPCo to retire any project or not seek additional relicenses for any project.

### 12.0 Project Coordination

12.1 The coordination and implementation of this Settlement will be overseen by a two-lavel project coordination structure. These shall be known as the CPCo-Resource Agencies Steering Committee and the Manistee-Muskegon-AuSable Coordination Team.

Stearing Committee (Stearing Committee). The Stearing Committee shall the procedures outlined in Section 14 of this Settlement, and shall also provided, however, that the Chair shall set a meeting of the Steering The Chair shall also be responsible for all meeting Settlement and shall be collectively known as the CPCo-Resource Agencies be responsible for the resolution of any disputes, in accordance with to review the progress of overall implementation of this Settlement. The chair of the Steering Committee The Chair shall be responsible for setting the date, time and place of the annual meeting and such other meetings of the Steering Committee, as may be required, and shali notice Committee if requested, in writing, by any two of the Steering Committee 12.2 CPCo and the resource agencies shall each designate a Project the other Project Leaders at least 14 (fourteen) days in advance, arrangements, including the recording and dissemination of notes. total of 4) who will have overall responsibility the actions required οť the CPCo Project Leader. implementation meet at least once annually puq coordination депрегв. Leader (a shall be

quorum of the Steering Committee to conduct business shall be defined as address, and telephone number of the successor shall be provided, in noticed to the following individuals at least 14 (fourteen) days in three of the four Project Leaders at a properly noticed madting. If date, time and location of the annual meeting of the Steering Committee to review the overall implementation of the Settlement shall also be Director, FERC Division of Compliance and Administration These individuals shall each receive a copy of the notes from the annual meeting, regardless of whether they or their designes Chairman's name and address in writing. The Steering Committee may, at any party decides to change its designated Project Leader, the name, (DCPA); Regional Director, NPS; and Chalrman, Michigan Hydro Re-Licensing Coalition (MRC). These individuals, or their designes, may ex-officio advisory attended. Provision of notice and notes to the Chairman of the MMC is on the MRC providing the Steering Committee with its its option, invite any individual or organizational representative to change becomes effective or as soon after as practical, to the other parties and the FERC seven (7) days prior of its mestings to serve in a similar advisory capacity. attend the annual meeting and participate in an dependent упе 12.3 A Manistee-Muskegon-AuSable Coordination (MYAC Team) shall be established to provide for the ongoing coordination and implementation of the actions required by this Settlement. The MMAC Team shall consist of one representative each from CPCo and the three resource agencies, who shall be appointed by the respective Project Leaders described in Paragraph 12.2 above. If any party decides to change its MMAC Team member, the name, address and telephone number of the successor shall be

seven (7) days prior to the date the change becomes effective or as soon documents, reports, submissions and correspondence concerning activities the designated idvice and participation in an ex-officio advisory capacity. The MMC required by or resulting from this Settlement. The MMAC shall also Communications between the parties and all performed pursuant to the terms and conditions of this Settlement shall be directed through the MMAC Team members. The MMAC Team will meet as of the terms and conditions of this Settlement, providing, however, that the MMAC Team Chair shall set a meeting within 14 (fourteen) days of a request, in writing, by any two of the MMAC Team representative of CPCo. The Chair shall be responsible for setting the date, time and place for MMAC Team meetings and for providing other appropriate meeting arrangements. A quorum of the MMAC team necessary to conduct business shall be any three of the four members at a properly option, invite any individual or organizational representative to any of its meetings for Jeam may also form ad-hoc teams that include other employees, interested parties, contractors or consultants to pursue and/or monitor any actions on a periodic basis, all interested parties, including those regarding their progress and actions taken to implement this Settlement. requency of these periodic reports will be determined at the annual Steering Committee meeting described in Paragraph 12.2 by the Project Leaders. Any disputes arising from the conduct of the MMAC Team mission provided, in writing, to the other parties and the FERC Director, DCPA, be identified, This information may be provided in a written or meeting format. and avift members. The Chair of the MMAC Team shall be Paragraph 12.2 and such others as may The MMAC Team may, at its ţ tor provide ţ necessary practical. noticed meeting. implementation ... 넊 def ined

shall be referred to the Project Leaders for resolution in accordance with the provisions of Section 14 of this Settlement.

pursuant to this Settlement, the MDNR will provide CPCO and the Director of the DCPA with a written statement of costs incurred by it in the previous fiscal year in overseeing the conduct of the activities required by this Settlement including, but not limited to, reviewing, developing, or commenting on submissions; overseeing and monitoring field activities; monitoring and documenting compliance with this Settlement; assessing the nead for or planning resource enhancement measures; and participating on the MMXC Team established pursuant to Paragraph 12.2. Any such written cost statement of work performed on the costs incurred.

cap of \$100,000, (adjusted for the CPI) within thirty (30) days of receipt of a written statement from the MDMR. All payments required pursuant to Paragraph 12.3 shall be by check made payable to the "State of Michigan" and forwarded to the Assistant Attorney General in charge of the Environmental Protection Division for deposit in the State of Michigan Habitat Improvement Account.

# 13.0 Resource Agencies Review. Consultation and Concurrence

13.1 This section provides for communication procedures between the resource agencies and CPCo. Resource agencies reviews referred to

in this section pertain to activities among the partles and would be, in many cases, preparatory to seeking FERC approvals. In all situations described herein, where the license requires FERC approval, CPCo shall use its best efforts to promptly seek and obtain authorizations from PERC before any changes to operations, facilities, project boundaries, or procedures are implemented.

13.2 All plans, studies, reports and submissions ("submissions") shall be delivered to the resource agencies for review in accordance with the schedules set forth in this Settlement.

13.3 Upon receipt of any "submission" or other item relating to the work that is required to be submitted for review pursuant to this Settlemant, the resource agencies HMAC team members will, in writing within forty-five (45) days, signify:

(a) Concurrence with the "submission," or;

(b) Ron-concurrence with the "submission", notifying CPCo of deficienciss. Upon receipt of a notice of concurrence and following FERC approval as necessary, CPCo shall proceed to take any action required by the "submission" or other item as concurred with or as modified. Approved "submissions" shall become enforceable under the terms of this Settlement and any new licenses issued.

13.4 Notice of non-concurrence arising from Paragraph 13.3 will specify the reason(s) for the non-concurrence. Unless a notice of non-concurrence specifies a longer time period, and upon receipt of a notice of non-concurrence from the resource agencies, CPCo shall within aixty (60) days thereafter; a) address the comments and submit the modified

plan, report, or other item to the resource agencies or to FERC for approval, if necessary, or b) refer the matter to dispute resolution pursuant to Section 14. CPCo shall proceed to take any action not directly related to the portion of the "submission" non-concurred with to the extent that any required FERC approval has been received.

13.5 Resource agencies concurrence means the "aubmission" is acceptable to meet the intent of the Settlement and does not mean that the resource agencies concur with all conclusions, methods, or statements in the "submissions".

#### 14.0 Disputes

14.1 Any dispute that arises under this Settlement shall, in the first instance, be the subject of informal negotiations between CPCs and the resource agencies. The MARC shall engage in a pariod of negotiations not to exceed seven (7) working days from the date of written notice by any team member that a dispute has arisen unless CPCo shall, at the end of the period of negotistions, refer the matter Steering Committee for a period of negotiations not to exceed extended by agreement. If the MMAC is unabla to resolve the dispute, seven (7) working days from the date of the referral, unless extended by agreement. At the end of this negotiation period, the resource agencies shall provide to CPCo a written statement setting forth their proposed resolution of the dispute. Within seven (7) working days of receiving the resource agencies proposed resolution, CPCo shall indicate to the resource agencies in writing whether or not it accepts the proposed During this informal dispute resolution period, any resolution.

Steering committee member may request the PERC Director of the Office of Bydropower Licensing (OHL) or the Director's designee, to participate in the negotiations to assist in resolving the dispute.

14.2 If CPCo rejects the resource agencies proposed resolution, any Steering Committee member may refer the dispute to FPRC for expedited dispute resolution except as provided for in this Section. All disputes taken to FERC under this Section shall be governed by FERC's Rules of Practice and Procedures, 18 CFR Part 385. If CPCo rejects the proposed resolution of any dispute regarding water quality limits pursuant to Paragraphs 6.5 through 6.7, any Steering Committee member may refer the dispute to the WRC for expedited dispute resolution. All disputes taken to the WRC for expedited dispute resolution. All disputes taken to the WRC shall be governed by Michigan Administrative Code R 323.1025 or, if applicable, R123.1021.

### 15.0 Liquidated Damages

informally or through formal dispute resolution pursuant to Section 14 without the need for FERC resolution. However, the parties recognize that the environmental enhancements and protections provided in this Settlement may not be fully realized if CPCo's commitments are not carried out in a timely and appropriate manner. Except as provided by Paragraphs 6.9 and 15.2, for failure to comply with this Settlement or with the schedule developed under Paragraph 2.5, the resource agencies may assess CPCo liquidated damages in the following amounts for damages to the environmental resources.

Damages Per Pailure <u>Per Day</u>	
	۰
	۰
Perlod	

1st through 30th day 31st through 60th day Beyond 60 days

\$1,000 \$2,000 \$4,000 The resource agencies may, individually or jointly, assess liquidated damages but not both. The resource agencies shall not assess liquidated damages for any given non-compliance under both this Settlement and the Mater Quality Certificates. No more than one resource agency may assess individually for any given non-compliance. Liquidated damages may be waived by the resource agency or by unanimous agreement of the resource agencies that assessed them.

15.2 Liquidated damages shall begin to accrue on the day performance was due, or other failure to comply occurred, and shall continue to accrue until the final day of correction of noncompliance unless:

- A. CPCo invokes the dispute resolution procedures within seven (7) working days of written demand for payment of liquidated damages from USPS, USPEWS or MDNR and CPCo accepts the resource agencies proposed resolution of the dispute pursuant to Paragraph 14.2, in which case no liquidated damages shall be owed, and/or;
- B. More than ninety (90) days have lapsed between the day performance was due, or other failure to comply occurred, and the date of a written demand, in which case, damages shall begin to accrue ninety (90) days prior to the written demand.

Liquidated damages oved to the resource agencies shall be paid no later than thirty (30) days after receiving a written demand from USFS, USFEWS or MDRR, unless CPCo invokes the dispute resolution provisions of Section 14. If CPCo invokes the dispute resolution provisions and rejects the resource agencies proposed resolution, the payment of liquidated damages shall be stayed and need not be paid until the dispute is resolved or FERC affirms, in whole or in part, the resource agencies demand, whichever is earlier.

15.1 Payment of liquidated damages shall be made to a cooperative account to be established by the resource agencies. The funds in this account shall be expended to further the environmental enhancements encompassed by this Sattlement. The resource agencies shall consult with CPCo regarding the expenditure of contributions made pursuant to this Section prior to authorizing an environmental enhancement activity. The resource agencies need not obtain PERC approval of expenditures, but will provide a report of expenditures to PERC and the parties by December 1 if there were any expenditures from these funds in the preceding fiscal year.

15.4 Nothing in this Settlement shall be construed to praclude the FERC from exercising its authority under Section 31 of the Federal Power Act.

### 16.0 Soil Erosion Control

16.1 CPCo shall develop stream and reservoir bank stabilization and soil erosion control plans for sections of the AuSable, Manistee and

Muskagon Rivers influenced by CPCo's hydroelectric projects. CPCo shall provide \$1 million, up to \$200,000 in any given year within the first ten years after the execution of this Settlement, in 1992 dollars (adjusted for the CPI) for erosion control vork at sites identified by the plans.

16.2 The plans shall include an erosion site inventory, prioritization schedule for erosion control and potential control alternatives and their associated costs. The plans and associated erosion control project implementation schedule shall be developed in consultation with the resource agencies and when, within a project boundary, with approval by FERC.

16.3 CPCo and the resource agencies shall jointly select sites, from the eroslon site inventory, for final design and construction. CPCo shall implement the control activity at each identified site. The resource agencies may provide financial assistance and/or participate in construction activities at selected sites.

- 16.4 CPCo, in cooperation with the resource agencies, shall:
- A) Muskegon River Identify streambank and reservoir soil erosion sites on the Muskegon River from the Rogers Bydroelectric Project downstream;
- B) Manistee River Utilize the erosion survey performed by the Northwest Michigan Resource Conservation and Development Council in

1986 and other data provided by the resource agencies for soil erosion site identification from Hodenpyl Hydroelectric Project downstream, and;

AuSable River prepared by <u>Huron Pines Resource Conservation and Development Council</u> in 1991 and other data provided by the resource agencies for soil erosion site identification from the Mio Hydroelectric Project downstream.

### 17.0 Rogers Project Operations

Delow, is the parties agree that run-of-river operation, as defined below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Rogers Project to enhance and protect the snvironment at this project by maximizing the Rogers reservoir and downstream river habitet. CPCo shall contract with USGS to install and maintain a flow gauge with telemetry upstream of the Rogers reservoir at Big Rapids. CPCo shall request that USGS complete flow gauge installation and commence operation within twenty-four (24) months of PERC license issuance. Upon installation and commencement of operation of the flow gauge, CPCo agrees to operate the Rogers Project on a run-of-river basis. Run-of-river means the Muskegon River flow through the Rogers project shall approximately equal the Muskegon River flow upstream at Big Rapids corrected for time of passage and water

17.2 "Approximately equal" means flow through the project, determined from turbine rating curves developed by CPCo in conjunction with USGS, is within ± 5% of the flow gauge reading. When the flow

gauge is ice affected, the flow through the project shall be within the 20% of the flow gauge reading. A definition of "ice affected" will be developed during the 3-year operation period described in Paragraph 17.4. Frequency of turbine rating curve calibration will be determined by CPCo and the resource agencies based upon USGS recommendations.

17.3 Flow fluctuations that deviate from run-of-river for special requests by official governmental entities will not exceed a period of four (4) hours without resource agencies notification or one business day without concurrence. Flow fluctuations for maintenance or special requests by official governmental entities that result in zero flow require prior resource agencies notification.

after FERC license issuance for resource agencies review in accordance with Section 13. For the first three years that the flow gauge is in operation, CPCo shall implement the operation testing plan to evaluate how closely the Rogers Project can match flow through using manual operations.

period CPCo shall submit to the resource agencies a written report on the operational testing program. The report shall assess how closely the Rogers Project can match flow through and describe its effect on reservoir surface water level fluctuations using menual operations.

17.6 The resource agencies will evaluate the report to determing whether manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If

the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will continue manual operation of the Rogers Project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet the run-of-river flows for resource agencies review according to the procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the necessary PERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet run-of-river flows.

## 18.0 Roders Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

18.1 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 861.3 ft U8GS datum. Compliance with run-of-river operation will be based on river flow in accordance with Paragraph 17.1.

down below the nominal operating elevation of 861.3 ft USGS datum. The reats of drawdown and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a drawdown of greater than two (2) ft, CPCO will obtain any necessary MDMR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 19.0 Hardy Project Operation

Delow, is the appropriate operational mode at the Hardy Project to 19.1 The parties agree that the project operation, as defined project river regulation impacts on Hardy reservoir habitat; minimizing Impacts on reservoir habitat from peaking operation; and maximizing downstream river habitat by the appropriate use of storage. CPCo chall maintain Hardy Reservoir at 822.0 ft USGS datum with 1 0.5 ft fluctuation on a daily basis except during periods of reservoir During reservoir drawdown, the change in water surface elevation shall not exceed 1.0 ft in any 24-hour period. Headwater elevations shall be recorded every thirty minutes. CPCo shall provide to the resource agencies, a report summarizing all events during the quarter in which the elevation fluctuations exceeded ± 0.5 ft during normal operation or drawdown, reservoir refill, emergency conditions and maintenance. t 1 ft in any 24-hour pariod during reservoir drawdown. CPCo vill modify the Wardy Project operation in consultation with the resource agencies, and upon FERC approval based on the Croton re-regulation analysis to be performed for the downstream Croton hydroelectric project à enhance and protect the environment at this project provided for in Section 20.

19.2 Winter reservoir drawdown will occur from early January to approximately the end of April. The maximum permissible drawdown without prior resource agencies concurrence is twelve (12) ft below 822.5 ft USGS detum 1 0.5 ft.

- 19.3 CPCo shall develop target drawdown and refill rates and operating procedures for the drawdown and refill periods at the Hardy Project as part of the Croton re-regulation study required by Section 20. These target rates and procedures will be utilized by CPCo to establish drawdown and refill durations.
- 19.4 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 822 ft USGS datum. The normal rates of drawdown and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a drawdown of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 20.0 Croton Project Operation

20.1 The parties agree that the re-regulated operation, as defined below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Croton Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by maximizing downstream river habitat and minimizing project impacts on the Croton reservoir habitat. CPCo shall operate the Croton Project to re-regulate the operation of the Hardy Project, but under no circumstance shall this result in a loss of the Hardy project as a peaking facility. When Hardy is at minimum pool, 812.0 ft USGS datum t 0.5 ft or when Hardy is at minimum pool, 810.5 ft USGS datum t 0.5 ft, the flows from the Croton Project shall approximately equal the inflows to the Rogers Project plus the inflow from the Little Muskegon River corrected for time of passage and water accretion. During Hardy reservoir drawdown or refill periods,

- the Croton Project shall release the projected mean daily discharge from Hardy Reservoir plue the inflow from the Little Muskegon River.
- 20.2 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the Croton Project reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 722.0 ft USGS datum. The Croton Project reservoir operating range will be determined by the Croton Project reservoir reregulation study as described in Paragraphs 20.3 and 20.4.
- 20.3 CPCo shall develop a Croton re-regulation plan to meet the standards outlined in Paragraphs 20.1 and 20.2.
- the schedule provided in Paragraph 2.5. The plan shall be submitted to the schedule provided in Paragraph 2.5. The plan shall be submitted to the resource agencies for review. Upon approval by the FERC, CPCs shall implement the Croton re-requistion plan. This plan shall include interim operation quidelines to be adhered to during the study period. The report shall identify the optimum operating procedures for the Croton Project to meet the operating standards cutlined in Paragraphs Croton Project to meet the operating standards cutlined in Paragraphs 20.1 and 20.2 and indicate whether these standards can be met with manual operation of the project or whether automated controls are required. The report shall describe fluctuations in Croton Project reservoir surface slevation due to re-regulation operations.
- 20.5 The resource agencies will evaluate the report to determine whether manual operation of the project can meet the operations standards of Paragraphs 20.1 and 20.2 and indicate whether these standards can be met. If the resource agencies determine that manual

operation of the project can meet operations standards, CPCo may continue manual operation of the Croton project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project cannot adequately meet operations standards, CPCo Will, Within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet operations standards for resource agencies review according to the procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the necessary PERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet operations etandards.

20.6 CPCo shall contract with USGS to install and maintain the necessary flow gauging with telemetry upstream of the Croton Project reservoir on the Little Muskegon River and immediately downstream of Croton .Dam. CPCo shall request that USGS complete flow gauge installation and commence operation within twenty-four (24) months of PERC license issuance.

20.7 During periods of maintenance, the Croton Project reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 722.0 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 21.0 Mio Project Operations

below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Mio Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by maximizing the Mio to install and maintain a flow gauge with telemetry upstream of the Mio PERC license issuance. Upon installation and commencement of operation reservoir and downstream river habitat. CPCo shall contract with USGS reservoir below Big Creek and a flow gauge with telemetry immediately downstream of Mio. CPCo shall request that USGS complete flow gauge (24) months of **9** 6 run-of-river basis. Run-of-river means the Au Sable River flow through the Mic project shall approximately equal the Au Sable River flow upstream below Big Creek corrected for time of passage and water of the flow gauges, CPCo agrees to operate the Mio Project The parties agree that run-of-river operation, as installation and commence operation within twenty-four accretion 21.2 "Approximately equal" means flow gauge readings below the project are within 1 5% of the upstream flow gauge readings. When the gauges are ice affected, the flow gauge reading below the project shall be within 1 20% of the upstream flow gauge reading. A definition of "ice affected" gauges will be developed during the three (1) year operation test period in accordance with Paragraph 21.4.

21.3 Flow fluctuations that deviate from run-of-river for special requests by official governmental entities will not exceed a period of four (4) hours without resource agencies notification or one business day without resource agencies concurrence. Flow fluctuations for

Maintenance or special requests by official governmental entities that result in zero flow require prior resource agencies notification.

21.4 CPCo shall provide a manual operation testing plan 90 days after FERC license issuance for resource agencies review in accordance with Section 13. For the first three years that the flow gauges are in operation, CPCo shall implement the operation testing plan to evaluate how closely the Mio Project can match outflow to inflow using manual operations.

21.5 Within six months after the end of the three-year test period CPCo shall subsit to the resource agencies a written report on the operational testing program. The report shall assess how closely the MIO Project can match outflow to inflow and describe its effect on reservoir surface water level fluctuations using manual operations.

whether manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the Mio project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the Mio project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet the run-of-river flows for resource agencies review according to the procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the necessary PERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the

design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet run-of-river flows.

## 22.0 Mio Project Reservoir Surface Mater Elevation

22.1 During normal operations, CPCo vill maintain the reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 962.6 ft USGS datum. Compliance with run-of-river operation vill be based on river flow in accordance with Paragraph 21.1.

down below the nominal operating elevation of 962.6 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. Por maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo vill obtain any necessary MDMK permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 23.0 Alcona Project Operations

below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Alcona Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by maximizing the Alcona reservoir and downstream river habitat. CPCo shall contract with USGS to install and maintain a flow gauge with telemetry upstream of the Alcona reservoir at 4001 Bridge and a flow gauge with telemetry immediately downstream of Alcona at Bamfisld Dam road. CPCo shall request that USGS complete flow gauge installation and commence

operation within twenty-four (24) months of FERC license issuance. Upon installation and commencement of operation of the flow gauges, CPCo agrees to operate the Alcona Project on a run-of-river basis. Run-of-river means the Au Sable River flow through the Alcona project shall approximately equal the Au Sable River flow upstream at 4001 Bridge corrected for time of passage and water accretion.

- project are within ± 5% of the upstream flow gauge readings below the gaugest are within ± 5% of the upstream flow gauge readings. When the gauges are ice affected, the flow gauge reading below the project shall be within ± 20% of the upstream flow gauge reading. A definition of wice affected gauges will be developed during the three (3) year operation test period in accordance with Paragraph 23.4.
- requests by official governmental entities will not exceed a period of four (4) hours without resource agencies notification or one business day without resource agencies concurrence. Flow fluctuations for maintenance or special requests by official governmental entities that result in zero flow require prior resource agencies notification.
- after PERC license lssuance for resource agencies review in accordance with Section 13. For the first three years that the flow gauges are in operation, CPCo shall implement the operation testing plan to evaluate how closely the Alcona Project can match outflow to inflow using manual operations.

23.5 Within six months after the end of the three-year test period CPCo shall submit to the resource agencies a written report on the operational testing program. The report shall assess how closely the Alcona Project can match outflow to inflow and describe its effect on reservoir surface water level fluctuations using manual operations.

whether manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will continue manual operation of the Alcona project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet the run-of-river flows for resource agencies review according the procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the necessary FERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet run-of-river flows.

## 24.0 Alcona Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

24.1 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 829 ft USGS datum. Compliance with run of river operation will be based on river flow in accordance with Paragraph 23.1.

down below the nominal operating elevation of 829 ft UGGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 25.0 Loud Project Operation

25.1 The parties agree that the project operation, as defined below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Loud Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by minimizing peaking impacts on Loud reservoir habitat. CPCo shall maintain Loud Reservoir at 741.8 ft USGS datum with 1 0.8 ft fluctuation on a daily basis except during periods of reservoir drawdown, reservoir refill, emergency conditions and maintenance. Headvater elevations shall be recorded every thirty minutes. CPCo shall provide to the resource agencies, a report summarizing all events during the quarter in which the elevation fluctuations exceeded ± 0.8 ft during normal operation. CPCo will modify the Loud Project operation after review by the resource agencies and with FERC approval based on the Poote re-regulation analysis to be performed for the downstream Foote hydroelectric project as provided for in Section 31.

## 26.0 Loud Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

26.1 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 741.8 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed two (2) ft in a twenty-four (24) hour period.

26.2 For maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) it, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

## 27.0 Five Channels Project Operation

below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Five Channels Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by minimizing peaking impacts on Five Channels reservoir habitat. CPCo shall maintaln Five Channels Reservoir at 714.7 ft USGS datum with to.3 ft fluctuation on a dally hasis except during periods of reservoir drawdown, reservoir refill, emergency conditions and maintenance. Headwater elevations shall be recorded every thirty (30) minutes. CPCo shall provide to the resource agencies, a report summarizing all events during the quarter in which the elevation fluctuations exceeded t 0.3 ft during normal operation. CPCo will modify the Five Channels Project operation after review by the resource agencies and with FERC approval based on the Poots re-regulation analysis to be performed for the downstream Foote hydroelectric project as provided for in Section 31.

# 28.0 Flye Channels Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

- 28.1 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 714.7 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed two (2) ft in a twenty-four (24) hour period.
- 20.2 For FERC required annual maintenance or inspections requiring a reservoir drawdown of up to four (4) ft, MDNR permit(s) are not required. CPCo shall provide prior notification to the resource agencies of such annual maintenance or inspection(s).
- 28.3 Por other maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 29.0 Cooke Project Operation

29.1 The parties agree that the project operation, as defined below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Cooke Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by minimizing peaking impacts on Cooke reservoir habitat. CPCD shall maintain Cooke Reservoir at 678.5 ft USCS datum with t 0.5 ft fluctuation on a daily basis except during pariods of reservoir drawdown, reservoir refill, emergency conditions and maintenance. Headwater elevations shall be recorded every thirty minutes. CPCO shall provide to the resource agencies, a report summarizing all events during the quarter in which

the elevation fluctuations exceeded \$ 0.5 ft during normal operation. CPCo will modify the Cooke Project operation after raview of the resource agencies and with FERC approval, based on the Poote reregulation analysis to be performed for the downstream Foote hydroelectric project as provided for in Section 31.

## 30.0 Cooke Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

- 30.1 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 678.5 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed two (2) ft in a twenty-four (24) hour period.
- 30.2 For FERC required annual maintenance or inspections requiring a reservoir drawdown of up to four (4) ft, MDNR permit(s) are not required. CPCo shall provide prior notification to the resource agencies of such annual maintenance or inspection(s).
- 30.3 For other maintenance requiring a drawdown of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 31.0 Foote Project Operation

31.1 The parties agree that the re-regulated operation, as defined below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Foote Project to enhance and protect the environment at this project by maximizing

downstream river habitat and minimizing project impacts on the Foote reservoir habitat. CPCo shall operate the Foote Project to re-requlate the operation of the Cocke Project, but under no circumstance shall this result in a loss at Loud, Five Channels and Cocke projects as peaking facilities. The flows from the Foote Project shall approximately equal the inflows to the Loud Project corrected for time of passage and water accretion.

- 31.2 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 639.2 ft USGS datum. The Foote Pond operating range will be determined by the Foote Pond re-regulation study as described in Paragraphs 31.3 and 31.4.
- 31.3 CPCc shall develop a Foote re-regulation plan to meet the standards outlined in Paragraphs 31.1 and 31.2.
- 31.4 The Poote re-regulation plan shall be developed according to the schedule provided in Paragraph 2.5. The plan shall be submitted to the resource agencies for review. Upon approval by the FERC, CPCO shall implement the Foote re-regulation plan. This plan shall include interim operation guidelines to be adhered to during the study period. The report shall identify the optimum operating procedures for the Foote Project to meet the operating standards outlined in Paragraphs 11.1 and 31.2 and indicate whether these standards can be met with manual operation of the project or whether automated controls are required. The report shall describe fluctuations in Foote Pond surface elevation due to re-regulation operations.

31.5 The resource agencies will evaluate the report to determine whether manual operation of the project can meet the operations standards of Paragraphs 31.1 and 31.2. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet operations standards, CPCo may continue manual operation of the Foote project. If cannot adequately meet the operations etandards, CPCo will, within six the project months of such a written determination, provids plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet operations standards for resource agencies review according to procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the FERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet the resource agencies determine that manual operation of operations standards. necessary

31.6 CPCo shall contract with USGS to install and maintain the necessary flow gauging with telemetry upstream of the Loud Project reservoir below the South Branch River and immediately downstream of Poote Dam. CPCo shall request that USGS complete flow gauge installation and commence operation within twenty-four (24) months of PERC license issuance.

## 32.0 Foote Project Reservoir Surface Mater Elevation

32.1 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 639.2 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed two (2) ft in a twenty-four (24) hour period.

- 32.2 For FERC required annual maintenance or inspections requiring a reservoir drawdown of up to five (5) ft, MDNR permit(s) are not required. CPCo shall provide prior notification to the resource agencies of such annual maintenance or inspection(s).
- 12.3 For other maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than 5 ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

## 33.0 Hodenpyl Project Operations

33.1 The parties agree that run-of-river operation, as defined balow, is the appropriate operational mode at the Hodenpyl Project to enhence and protect the environment at this project by maximizing the with USGS to install and maintain a flow gauge with telemetry upstream CPCo shall contract of the Hodenpyl reservoir at Sherman and a flow gauge with telemetry CPCo shall request that USGS four (24) months of FERC license issuance. Upon installation and commencement of operation of the flow gauges, CPCs agrees to operate the Run-of-river means the equal the Manistee River flow upstream at Sherman corrected for time of complete flow gauge installation and commence operation within twenty-River flow through the Hodenpyl project shall approximately Modempyl reservoir and downstream river habitat. on a run-of-river basis. immediately downstream of Hodenpyl. passage and water accretion. Hodenpyl Project

- project are within 1 5% of the upstream flow gauge readings. When the gauges are ice affected, the flow gauge reading below the project shall be within 1 20% of the upstream flow gauge reading. A definition of "ice affected" gauges will be developed during the three (1) year operation test period in accordance with Paragraph 33.4.
- 33.3 Flow fluctuations that deviate from run-of-river for special requests by official governmental antities will not exceed a period of four (4) hours without resource agencies notification or one business day without resource agencies concurrence. Flow fluctuations for maintenance or special requests by official governmental entities that result in zero flow require prior resource agencies notification.
- als.4 CPCo shall provide a manual operation testing plan 90 days after FPRC license issuance for resource agencies review in accordance with Section 13. For the first three years that the flow gauges are in operation, CPCo shall implement the operation testing plan to evaluate how closely the Hodenpyl Project can match outflow to inflow using manual operations.
- 31.5 Within six months after the end of the three-year test period CPCo shall submit to the resource agencies a written report on the operational testing program. The report shall assess how closely the Hodenpyl Project can match outflow to inflow and describe its affect on reservoir surface water level fluctuations using manual operations.

whether manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will continue manual operation of the Hodenpyl project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet the run-of-river flows for resource agencies review according to the procedures specified in Section 13. Within 90 days of the necessary PERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet run-of-river flows.

## 34.0 Modenpyl Project Reservoir Surface Water Elevation

- 34.1 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the reservoir surface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 809.0 ft USGS datum. Compliance with run of river operation will be based on river flow in accordance with Paragraph 33.1.
- 34.2 During periods of maintenance, the reservoir may be drawn down below the nominal operating elevation of 809.0 ft USGS datum. The rates of draw down and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a draw down of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDRR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource egencies at the time of application.

### 35.0 Tippy Project Operations

15.1 The parties agree that run-of-river operation, as defined enhance and protect the environment at this project by maximizing the Tippy reservoir and downstream river habitat. CPCo shall contract with USGS to install and maintain a flow gauge with telemetry upstream of the Tippy reservoir on the Pine River at High School Bridge and a flow gauge telemetry downstream of Tippy. CPCo shall request that USGS commencement of operation of the flow gauges, CPCo agrees to operate the Tippy Project on a run-of-river basis. Run-of-river means the Manistee complete flow gauge installation and commence operation within twenty-Upon installation and River flow through the Tippy project shall approximately equal the Manistee River flow upstream at Hodenpyl plus the inflow from the Pine below, is the appropriate operational mode at the Tippy Project River corrected for time of passage and water accretion. (24) months of FERC license issuance. vith four

15.2 "Approximately equal" meane flow gauge readings below the project are within i 5% of the upstream flow gauge readings. When the gauges are "ice affected", the flow gauge reading below the project shall be within i 20% of the upstream flow gauge reading. A definition of "ice affected" gauges will be developed during the three (3) year operation test period in accordance with Paragraph 35.4.

35.3 Flow fluctuations that deviate from run-of-river for spacial requests by official governmental entities will not exceed a period of four (4) hours without resource agencies notification or one business day without resource agencies concurrence. Flow fluctuations for

meintenance or special requests by official governmental entities that result in zero flow require prior resource agencies notification.

after PERC license issuance for resource agencies review in accordance with Section 13. For the first three years that the flow gauges are in operation, CPCo shall implement the operation testing plan to evaluate how closely the Tippy Project can match outflow to inflow using manual operations.

35.5 Within six months after the end of the three-year test period CPCo shall submit to the resource agencies a written report on the operational testing program. The report shall assess how closely the Tippy Project can match outflow to inflow and describe its effect on reservoir surface water level fluctuations using manual operations.

whether manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can meet run-of-river flows. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the project can of the project. If the resource agencies determine that manual operation of the of the project cannot adequately meet run-of-river flows, CPCo will within six months of such a written determination, provide plans, specifications and schedules for installation and operation of automatic operation controls to meet the run-of-river flows for resource agencies review according to the procedures specified in Section 13, Within 90 days, of the necessary FERC approvals, CPCo shall commence with the

design and procurement for the installation of automatic operation controls to meet run-of-river flows.

## 36.0 Tippy Project Reservoir Surface Mater Elevation

16.1 During normal operations, CPCo will maintain the reservoir sturface water elevation at a nominal operating elevation of 687.4 ft USGS datum. Compliance with run of river operation will be based on river flow in accordance with Paragraph 15.1.

down below the nominal operating elevation of 687.4 ft USGS datum. The rates of drawdown and refill shall not exceed one (1) ft per twenty-four (24) hour period. For maintenance requiring a drawdown of greater than two (2) ft, CPCo will obtain any necessary MDNR permit(s). Copies of the permit application(s) shall be supplied to the resource agencies at the time of application.

### 37.0 Stronach Dam Management

and included in the Tippy Project License; the parties collectively agree that significant potential ecological, recreational, scenic, seethetic and cultural benefits would be realized if the Stronach bas was removed, including: 1) restoring approximately two miles of free flowing high gradient river habitat which is a rare habitat type in Michigan; 2) providing enhanced recreational canoeing and flahing opportunities; 3) contributing to the mitigation of habitat effects at

the other peaking hydroelectric projects specified in this Settlement; and 4) will maintain the character of that portion of the Pine River designated as a National Scenic River whose boundary is just upstream of the Stronach impoundment. The parties also recognize that ongoing studies, which are scheduled for completion in December 1992, are being conducted to determine the environmental effects of breaching or removing the Dam to restore the natural Pine River channel. However, it is the desire of the parties not to delay the execution of this Settlement avaiting the results of the Stronach Dam studies.

CPCo will, in consultation with the resource agencies, submit to the a finding that net public benefits would be achieved by the proposed (as adjusted to the CPI) for the removal and restoration. If less than Stronach Dam. If the subsequent FERC environmental analysis results in the Pine River channel subject to resource agencies review and FERC approvel of the final removal plans. CPCo shall fund up to \$750,000 in 1992 dollars \$750,000 is spent on removal and restoration, the remainder can be utilized by agreement of the resource agencies for other purposes covered by this Settlement. The final removal plans shall include the removal process. The final removal plan shall be submitted to the FERC 37.2 Following the completion of the ongoing Stronach Dam studies, time table for the for approval within 12 months of license issuance. Upon PERC approval, restoration, FERC by February 15, 1993, a preferred method for removal of CPCo agrees to remove the Stromach Dam and restors Bite CPCo shall implement the Stronach Dam removal plan. bank stabilization, provisions for recreational user safety and the removal/breaching methods, removal,

Respectfully submitted by:

FOR COMBUNERS POWER COMPANY

Robert J Michalson Daw Vice President, Fossil and Rydro Electric Operations Consumers Power Company

FOR THE US DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE-FOREST SERVICE

POR THE NICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES and THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER

Ployd J Marita, Ir Due Regional Pacester B Department of Agriculture-Forest Service

Frank & Kelley
Attorney General
State of Michigan

FOR THE US DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR-FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

FOR THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Samuel Marier De Samuel Marier De Regional Director US Department of Interior-Fish and Wildlife Service

FOR THE US DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR-NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Roland Harmes Described of Natural Resources

FOR THE STATE HISTORIC PRESERVATION OFFICER Monthly B Eckert
State Historic Preservation
officer

The following is a candidate list of new recreational facilities and proposed improvements to existing recreational facilities. The final list of recreational facility improvements or additions will be developed in the recreation section of the land Management Plans based on: compatibility with other aspects of the land Management Plans listed in Paragraph 4.2; consultation with the resource agencies, NPS, the local public; and the organization with the resource agencies, NPS, the local public; and the organization with response to the FERC additional information requests dated May 21,1992. This listing identifies the site manager responsible for site operation and maintenance whether the site is existing or proposed and the tentative capital construction priority of each site.

			BITE	BACANANA	CONSTRUCTION PRICEIT
č	1111	Tacilities/Engracements Engeter River			
÷.	ğ	Bodenpyl Bydruelectric Project 1. Impoundment Boat Launch and Barrier-Free Fishing Piez	CPCo	PROPOSED	MEDICA
		Install parking lot, vault toilet, harden remp and path, skid pier, skyne and barrier- free fiehing pier.			
	ų	2. Tailwater Access-North Side & Woodpecker Creek	CPCo	EXISTING	нісн
		Upgrade cance platform and stairway, Install cance chute, rollers, signs, vault tollet and parking lot.			
	m,	Tailwater Access-South Side	c C C	EXISTING	нтан
		Upgrade parking lot; Install chip trail, timber platform, signs, and vault toilet.			
	4	North Country Trail Poot Bridge	DSFS	PROPOSED	HIGH
		Install muspended foot bridge over Manietee River (50% cost share with OSFS).			

H

## APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL PACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS

			STEE	FYNTUI	COMBINICATOR
	'n	USPS Seaton Creek Chappround	OSFS	ELISTING	06
		Provide 50% share of maintenance cost.			
á	1. 1.	Tippy Epdroslectric Project 1. Ned Bridge Public Access	USPS	EXISTING	MEDIUM
		Dygrade parking lot; Install valt tolist, skid pier, and water well with hand pump.			
	ų	Norman Township Public Access	Horman Township	BAISTING	1001
		Upgrade parking lot and road; Install vault toilet, picnic tables, and skid pier.			
	m.	Tippy Dam Campground	RNON	EXISTING	МЕРТОМ
		Upgrade toilsts.			
	÷	Impoundment Boat Launch & Barrier-Free Pier	MDMR	EXISTING	KICH
		Upgrade access road, parking lot and boat temps install wall: tollsta, signs, berrier- free fleshing pier and akid pier.			
	ų	Tailwater Access-North Side	AD IN	EXISTING	HIGH
		Upgrade access path; install barrier-free fishing platforms with railings and covered platform.			
	ė,	Tailwater Access-South Side	8	EXISTING	RIGH
		Install log stairs, boardwalk and vault tollet,			

8

# TOTAL ESTIMATED CAPITAL EPPENDITURE FOR THE MANISTER RIVER - 8440,000

#### II. PACILITIES/ENBACEMENTS AU SERIE RIVER

NO COHSTRUCTION	ВІСЯ		BO COMSTRUCTION		нтен	
EXISTING	EXISTING		BXISTING		EXISTING	
RXOX	8		USFS		MONR	
Mic Hydroelectric Project 1. Camp Ten Public Access Provide maintenance	costs. 2. Camp Ten Plehing Pier-Worth	Upgrade parking lot; Install vault tollet.	3. Camp Ten Fishing Pier-South	Provide maintenance costs.	4. MDNR Campground (Rustic)	Upgrade piculo tables and landecape; Install vault toilet, fire rings and skid pier.
-	N		e		*	
<b>તં</b>						

#### APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS

COMBINICATOR	нон		MEDIUM		HIOH		MED TUN		₽	CONSTRUCTION	LON	
PWWVI	EXISTING		EXISTING		EXISTING		EXISTING		EXISTING		SXISTING	
SITE HAMAGER	RNON		cPCo		8		CPCa		SASO		Alcons	rarks Commission
	5. MDNR Fishing Pier/Soat Launch	Upgrade existing tollets, cancer landing and pier; Upgrade parking lot; Install skid pher, roof on barrier-free fishing pler and eigne.	6. Canoe Portage	Upgrade states with caroe slides Install wood ferce/rail and caroe put—in (rock crib).	7. Tailwater Access-South	Upgrade driveway, parking int and cance put-in (rock and cance put-in (rock and rock); Install hardened path, styns, zalings, wallt tollet and barrier-free boardwalk,	8. Tailwater Access-Morth	Install parking lot, vault toilet and signs.	<ol> <li>Alcona Eydroslectric Project</li> <li>4001 Cance Take-Dut</li> </ol>	Provide 50% share of maintenance costs.	2. Alcona County Park (West) Boat Launch	Upgrade parking loc; Install vault toilet, skid pier, hardened path, boat ramp, and

		BITE	BERTOR	COMBTRUCTION PRIGRIT		
	3. Alcona County Park (East) Soat Launch	Alcona	EXISTING	PON	W. west G	نه
	Upgradm parking lot, install skid pier,	Parks Commission			r Pos	Instal
	vault toilet.				4. Bollwa	11ve
	4. Canoe Portage	CPCo	BRISTING	MEDION	Pro	Provid meinte
	Upgrade cance take out Breps; Install gravel trail.				5. Rollwa	1148
	5. Tailwater Access (West)	8	BKISTIMO	HIGH	Pro	Províd Bainte
	Upgrade access road and parking; Install hardered parks, vault tollat and stone for				6. Close Close	* *
	Dattler-free fishing area,				7. SCB Dim	Scenic Dimple;
	6. Inilwater Accese (Bast)	CPCo	BRISTING	KIGH	Pro	Provide
	Install tance launch (rollers), parking lot					displa,
	and road, Install hand tail, vault toilet and				8. Canos	•
	eigns for barrier-fres flahing area,				Upg T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	Upgrada take-ou
	7. Banfield Road Canos Access	USFS	EXISTING	нзен	11:4	lide.
	Close existing cance access site.				9. 141	Tailvat
ن	Loud Brdroelectric Project				LEGIT I DESCRIPTION OF STREET	Opgrade Install
	1. Hoppe Creek Cande Take-Out	USES	EXISTING	MEDIUM	#191 for	signs a
	Upgrade roadway,				area.	į
	perking interact graver part, atoms, cance landing, and vault tollet.				D. Five Chambe Project 1. Impound	puno
	2. Impoundment Boat Launch	CPCo	EXISTING	нсн	npqu Treat	Upgrade
	Upgrade access road and parking lot; Install hardened boat ramp, want tolate, skid pler and signs.				pred q	pter, h

#### APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS

COMPTENCE SON	Š	NO CONSTRUCTION	BO COMSTRUCTION	ROIN	R.	MED I UM	HIGR	KZDIUM
BYANY	existing	EXISTING	ехібтінь	BRISTING	Dailsia	EXISTING	OMILOIME	existing
BITE	0.578	OSPS	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	cPCo	USFS	D <b>.</b>	CPCo	29
	<ol> <li>Mest Gate Scenic Overlook Install steirs and boardwalk.</li> </ol>	4. Mollways Campground Provide 50% share of maintenance costs.	5. Rollways Picnic Site Provide 50% share of maintenance costs.	6. Close Existing Overlock Close and restore site.	7. Scenic By-Way Interpretive Display Provide 50% share of cotts for interpretive displays.	8. Gance Portage Upgrade cance put-in and take-out platforms and stairway; Install cance	9. Tailwater Access-South Upgrade parking lot; Install want toilst, signs and hardened park for barrier-free fishing area.	D. Five Channels Bydroelectric Project 1. Impoundment Boat Ramp Upgrade boat ramp and parking lot; Intel skid pier, vault toilet, bkid pier, vault toilet, pier, hardened path and eigne.

	SITS HANGER	STATUS	COMPTRUCTION PRIORITI	
2. Cance Portage	CPCo	EXISTING	HEDICH	5. Sawaill P.
Upgrade cance put-in and take-out platforms and statimany install cance whide.				Upgrade r ramp and ; Install v Hater wel
3. Tailvater Access-South	CPCo	EXISTING	HIGH	6. Lower Imp
Install vault tollet, parking lot, hardened path and signs for barrier-free flahing area.				Upgrade by parking it yault toi
4. Tallwater Access-North	CPCo	EXISTING	HIGH	pier, sign
Upgrade access road and parking ltt; Instell vault tollet, etairway, handrail and eigns for barriar-free fishing area.				7. failwater Upgrade pr Install be Elshing D. Froof, vmu
E. Choke Eydroelectric Project				hardened : pier-
1. Impoundment Book Launch	ChCo	PROPOSED	ніся	
Install parking lot, hardened boet ramp, wanit toilet, skid pler, roadway, and signs.				S. COOKE CENT (50% CPCO CONSTRUCT ON COOKE
2. large Springs	USFS	BRISTING	Q.	F. Foote Mydroski
Provide 50% share of maintenance costs.			CONSTRUCTION	1. Old Orchas Fishing P
<ol> <li>Lumberman's Monument Campground</li> </ol>	USFS	EXISTING	NO CONSTRUCTION	Upgrade pr Install bu
Provide 50% share of maintenance costs.				collect no
4. Lamberman's Monument Visitor Center (50% CPCs Cost Share)	USPS	EXISTING	MEDIUM	2. Oscoda Tot Launch
Opprade displays; Integli decks, boardwalk, picnic pavilion and restroom hasters.				Upgrada by parking in toilets; pier, hard algos.

APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/EMMANCEMENTS

COMBINCETOR	HICH		MEDICA		HIGH		<b>A</b> 07		<b>3</b> 01		віся	
FINTE	ENISTING		Bristikg		EXISTING		PROPOSED		PROPOSED		EXISTING	
BITE	DSFS		CPCo		96		USPS		Oscoda County	Parks	Decode Township	
	Sawmill Point Campground	Upgrade roadway, boat ramp and hardan mites; Install wall tollet and water well.	Lower Impoundment Boat Launch & Barrier-Free Pier	Upgrade boat ramp and parking lot; Install vault tollar, skid pier, barrier-free flahing pier, signe and hardened path,	Tailwater Access-South	Upgrade parking lot; Install berrier-free fishing platform with roof, vault toilet, hardened ramp and skid pier.	Cooks Compground (50% CPCo Cost Share)	Construct new campground on Cooke impoundment.	te Mydroelsctric Project Old Orchard County Park Pishing Piez	Upgrade parking lot; Install bertler-free fishing pier, vault toilet, hardened path and signs.	Oscoda Township Park Boat Launch	Upgradm boat ramp, parking lot, and wault tolletm; Install mkid pier, hardened path and algos.

COMBTRUCTION 28 RECORTY	ю нтан		e HIGH		NOT 0		G MEDIUM	
BIATUB	BRISTING		EXISTING		RXISTING		EXISTING	
SITE HANGER	Oscoda Township		MDMR		MONR		CPCo	
	<ol> <li>Oscoda Township Swimming Beach</li> </ol>	Upgrade vault toilete and parking lot; Install awimming buoys,	4. Tailwater Access/Pishing Pier-South	Install barrier-frae flahing pier, hardenad path and wault tollet, boardenlk and eigne for barrier-free tailwater flahing area.	5. Res Road Public Access	Upgrade vault toilets, pier and boat ramp.	6. Canoe Portage	Upgrade stairs and canos take out; Install canos chute.

# TOTAL BETINATED CAPITAL EXPERDITURE FOR THE AU SABLE RIVER - \$1,400,000

### III. FRCILITIES/ENTANCEMENTS MUNICIPAL RIVER

ŝ		301	
EXISTING		EXISTING	
MOM		MDNR	
A. Rogers Bydroelectric Project 1. Rogers Meights Bost Launch	Upgrade parking lot and vault toilet; Install hardened path, pionic tables with grills and aigns.	2. Hecosta County Boat Leunch	Upgrade vanit toilet and site; Close boat ramp.

#### APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL PACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS

COMPANDICATION	3	104	нтан	, CO4	KEDIUM	HIGH	ND10N
BYRYUE	EXISTING	EXISTING	52 m f 5 s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s s	EXISTIMO	EXISTING	EXISTING	DEILSIER
SITE	Stanwood Lione Club	cpco	000	S. S	8	KDKR	CPG
	3. Ulrich Park and Picnic Area Upgrade parking lot, picnic tables and grills; Install barrier-free fielding pler, hardened path, vault tollet, and eigns.	4. Canoe Portage Upgrade canoe put-in, trail and stairs; Install canoe slide.	S. Tailwater Fishing Access (Rast) Improve access road and harden path; Install harrier-free fishing pier, vault tollat, parking lot, contrets stape, fence and signs.	Hardy Mydroelectric Project 1. D8-131 Public Access Upgrade vault tollets; Install barrier-free fishing pier with roof and hardened path.	2. Hems Bend Close access road and clean up atte.	3. Newaygo State Park Upgrade vault tollete and plonic tables; Install hardened path and upgrade four (4) sites for barrier-free	4. Davis Bridge Closure Close scress road and clean up site.

Ä

COMBTANCEICH	MED I UN	LOW	H16B	нзан	нток
FTATUS	DAILHOIKE	PUTURE	EXISTING	FUTURE	FUTURE
SITE	Hewaygo County Parks Countesion	935	8	0	OPCO
	S. Mardy Dam Park Launch Upgrade boat ramp, wallt toilets and parking lot; Remove boat docks, Install skid pler, bardened path and signs.	6. Cance Portage-East Side Install crosswalk, cance chure, log stakes, chip path and special put-in.	7. Tallwater Access-East Side Upgrade parking and road; Tastall vaut toller, hand rail, benches, signs and preserve or remove well house.	8. Impoundment Fishing Pier and Pichic Area Lastall parking loc, hardened path, barrier-frue fishing pier with rollet, signs, wall tollet, signs, wall tollet, admit rollet, admit rollet, admit rollet, admit rollet, admit pertilet, admit pertilet, and pichic tables with grille.	9. Tailwater Access-West Upgrade parking lote, drivewsy and mite grade; Install vault tollar, hardsned path, migns fence/gate, and hand zail for barrier-frem fiming area.

#### APPENDIX A LIST OF CANDIDATE RECREATIONAL FACILITIES/ENHANCEMENTS

COMPTRUCTION	MID I UM		нтан		нгов	
CANA	EXISTIBO		FUTURE		EXISTING	
BLIB	S		8		Newayge County	Commission
	C. Crotom Bydroelectric Project 1. Portage, Pler, Boat Leunch	Upgrade perking lot, vault toilets and campe puring install gravel path, campe chute, barrier-free fishing pier, hardened boat ramp, skid plar, signs and fance.	2. Tailwater Dverlook and Access-East Side	Opgrade stelre, guard rail, and parking lot; Install vault toilet, lower parking lot, eteps and path, railing and boardwalk, and signs for fining access.	3. Kimball Park Boat Launch E Flebing Access-West	Upgrade boat ramp, parking lot, and vault tollatus install skid pier, hardened path, edgne, barriar-free boatdwalk and fishing platform, additional north side parking, gravel road, ataps and thip path.

# ZOTAL BSTIBATED CAPITAL ELPERDITURE POR THE HUSEBOON RIVER - \$800,000

### APPENDIX B LEASE MANAGEMENT REQUIREMENTS

#### CAMPGROUNDS

ä

- Where necessary, upgrade toilet/restrows facilities to meet current public beatch and safety standards and the provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1991 (ADA).
- Develop plans for providing a target 100 ft greenbelt between the water's edge and campaits locations where practical. .
- Consolidate existing multiple dock sites in a central location(s). The numbers and locations of dockage sites will be determined in consultation with the resource agencies and park management. 'n
- Develop a plan to reduce the number of seasonal sites and conversion of these sites to provide for additional transitant camping with a limited may of up to three (3) weeks. The appropriate mix of seasonal/transism sites will be determined in consultation with the resource agencies and park management. ÷
- Develop and implement a sign plan for each campground facility. For recreational facilities listed in Appendix A, the plan should ensure public ACCRES. ú
- Require that each campground be licensed in accordance with state requirements and that copies of license(s) be provided to CPCo annually. ė

#### BOATING ACCESS SITES ä

- Where meressary, upgrade tollet/restrons facilities to mest current public health and safety standards and the provisions of the ADA of 1991. ÷
- Where necessary, provide concrete car/trailer boat launching ramp(s). Ŕ
- Where necessary, provide for a barrier-free skid pier edjacent to the concrate ramp.
- Provide for adequate entrance road(s) and organized parking with gravel or paved surface.
- Develop and implement a directional, informational and eafety sign plan. ų,
- All existing and proposed boat dockage locations shall be reviewed by GPCs in consultation with the resource agencies and park management. ė
- 5 ÷
  - Public use fees for all such facilities shall be reviewed by CPCs consultation with the resource agencies and park management.

### SMINNING BEACH/PICKIC AREAS

ö

- Where necessary, provide toilet/restroom/change house facilities that meet current public health and safety and the provisions of the ADA of 1991. ä
- Provide for the annual placement and maintenance of adequate safety buoys to delineate the perimeter of the sylmming eres(s). 'n
  - Provide for adequate entrance road(s) and organized parking with a gravel or paved surface. ÷

5

à

Develop and implement a directional, informational and safety sign plan.

Public use fees for all such facilitles shall be reviewed consultation with the resource agencies and park management.

5

### APPENDIX B LAND/LEASE MANACEMENT REQUIREMENTS

#### MALKAS ė

- Where necessary, upgrade toilet/restroom facilities to meet current public health and estety standards and the provisions of the ADR of 1991.
- Where necessary, provide wateroraft sewage pump-out and disposal facilities that meet health and exfery standerds. ĸ
- Provide a plan for safe and edequate dockage facilities. Proposed dockage plans shall be submitted to the resource agencies for review.

ö

- Provide for adequate entrance road(s) and parking with a gravel or paved
- Require that each marina facility is litensed in accordance with state requirements and copies of litense(s) are provided to CPCo annually. ĸi.
- Public use fees for all such facilities shall be reviewed by CPCo in consultation with the resource agencies and park management.
- Develop and implement a directional, informational and mafety sign plan.

## APPENDIX CONTITY, SEDINEMI QUALITY AND PISH CONTAMINANT WHENTORING PROGRAM

#### Mater Cuality ė

- Proposed Locations in the Ru Sable River a. Mic, blooms and Loud above the project, in the impoundment and in the
- - tailwater. Five Channels, Cooks and Foote, in the Lapoundment and in the tailwater.

.

- Proposed Locations in the Manietse River

  a. Hodenpy, above the project, in the impoundment and in the tailwater.

  b. Tippy above the project (in the Manietse River and Pine River), in the impoundment the project (in the Manietse River and Pine River), in the impoundment the project of the tailwater; above Stronach and Stronach impoundment (only if Stronach remains),
- Proposed Locations in the Muskegon River a. Rogars above the project, in the impoundment and in the tailwater. b. Hardy and Groton in the impoundment (in both arms at Croton) and in the tailwater.
- Supples shall be nollected as follows:

  a. Above impoundment in mid-channel locations.

  b. Impoundment profils in despest location.

  c. Tailwater within 100 meters of putlet in mid-channel. á
- Frequency; samples shall be collected quarterly by sessons for one (1) year during the fifth, tenth, fifteenth, twantieth and twenty-fifth years of the license. ŝ
- Parameters

ė

Alkalinity as CaCO1, mg/1 Calorophyll a, ug/1 (only in the impoundment)

Color, FCU's Dissolved Sulfate (SO4), mg/1

Hardness as CaCD3, mg/1 Percent daygen Saturation

Secont Disk, Meters Specific Conductance, unho Total Acmonia, mg/l Total Dissolved Sollds, mg/l

Total Nitrate, mg/1
Total Nitrite, mg/1
Total Nitrite, mg/1
Total Organic Carbo, mg/1
Total Phosphores (P), mg/1
Total Phosphores (P), mg/1
Total Suspended Solide, mg/1

Reservoir temperature and D.O. profiles will be collected in the despect location of each impoundment.

ŀ

ø

Temperature and D.O. Frequency a. Messurements shall be collected in February, June, July and August. b. Messurements shall be collected every 0.5 meters.

## NATER QUALITY, SEDIMENT QUALITY AND FISH CONTAMINANT NORTHER FROGRAM

### Impoundment Sediment Sampling

Ä

- Location
- Three (3) samples shall be collected in the despent location of each impoundant.
  The samples shall be collected in each arm of the Tippy and Croton impoundants.
- Prequency; samplem shall be collected once in the fifteenth (15th) year of the license. ri Ni

Parameters

Oli and Gresse, mg/kg

Percent Volatia Solids

Total Arenic (As), mg/kg

Total Barlum (Bs), mg/kg

Total Chromium (Cr), mg/kg

Total Chromium (Cr), mg/kg

Total Iron (Fs), mg/kg

Total Lend (Pb), mg/kg

Total Mirrien (R), mg/kg

Total Mirrien (R), mg/kg

Total Mirrien (R), mg/kg

Total Silver (R), mg/kg

Total Silver (As), mg/kg

Total Linc (An), mg/kg

Total (An), mg/kg

Total

Mires Hexachlorobenzene BHC

#### APPENDIX C WATER GUALITY, SEDINENT GUALITY AND FISH CONTAMINANT MONITORING PROGRAM

#### Fish Contaminants

ö

- A fish contaminant monitoring program, similar in scope to the pre-application fish contaminant grudy, shall be conducted at five year literals, on a schouls to be determined by the parties, for no more than five times during the literate partie.
- Prior to conducting each monitoring effort, CPCo shall develop a study plan, for remource agencies review and concurrence, that includes the species, sizes and locations to be sampled.

ď

ń

For the purposes of water quality monitoring, the study plan shall include ten wallaye from each of the following locations: 1) Manishes River - Bodentyl Reservoir and below Tippy Dam; 2) AuSable River - Above Force Dam in one of the Lapoundhestes and Balow Tooke Dam; and 3) Munkegon River - Crock or Impoundment and Balow Crotton Dam. The walleye shall be in the 20-22 inch size range, unless another size is approved by the resource agencies. Other species and amplied locations shall be safected in consultation with the resource agencies. These fish shall be achieved in consultation with UDNR standard analysis like as follows with other parameters determined in consultation with the resource agencies:

## andard Analyses

Analytical Detection Level	0.001 mg/kg					0.005 mg/kg																			
Standard Analyses	Hexachlorobentene	Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	Aldrin	Dieldrin	4.4DDE	4.4DDD	4,4'-DDT	Heptachlor apoxida	Mercury	Oxychlordane	games - Chlordane	trans-Nonschlor	#) pha-Chlordane	cis-Wonschlor	Octachlorostyrene	Hexachlorostyrene	Heptachlorostyrene	Pentachlorostyrene	Heptachlor	Terphenyl	Toxaphene	Xirex	PBB (FF-1, BP-6)	PCBs (Aroclors 1242, 1248,	