

# COMPARTMENT REVIEW RECORD OF CHANGES AND DECISIONS

Michigan Department of Natural Resources



Atlanta Management Unit

2014 Year-of-Entry

Atlanta Field Office

October 9, 2012

---

The following documents the changes and decisions made at the compartment review to the Inventory database, reports, and compartment maps presented at open house for the Atlanta Forest Management Unit compartment review. This document is the official record of changes and decisions. Proposals originally presented were approved unless noted below.

## **Attendees**

Daniel Heckman, FRD; Douglas Heym, FRD; Brain Mastenbrook, WLD; Paige Perry, FRD; Randy McKenzie, FRD; Tim Greco, FRD; Cody Stevens, FRD; Darrick Coy, FRD; Jason Stephens, FRD; Jennifer Kleitch, WLD; Jeff Autenrieth, FRD; Kirby Osvold, FRD; Steve Milford, FRD.

Members of the public in attendance: Bob Theiner, Tom Carlson, Roger Teets.

## **Comments from Stakeholders**

### **Compartment 7 (Stand examiner – Darrick Coy)**

No changes made at compartment review.

### **Compartment 34 (Stand examiner – Jeff Autenrieth)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 48 – Add treatment for trench and plant to Red Pine in southeast corner of the stand.
- Stand 77 – Refer to Elk Management Plan and promote a mixed stand in next step treatment.

### **Compartment 39 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 47 – Check treatment type (marked thinning versus systematic thinning).
- Stand 67 – Add comment stating that prescription acres will likely fall short of <25% stand acres.
- Stand 51 – Change treatment management objective to current cover type.
- Paige Perry will provide stand list for comments regarding ORV sale specs (Stump Heights)
- Stand 29 – Change managed site to plantation, add treatment for planting

### **Compartment 41 (Stand examiner – Jeff Autenrieth)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stands 16, 33, 41, 42, 61 – Add Special Conservation Area (SCA) Unique Site, describe stand characteristics and conservation values that are being protected; soil productivity, stand structure, and existing mid – late succession stages, recreational, scenic, and intrinsic values are being emphasized for long-term management. The stands have had passive management in the past and will be continued. Change compartment narrative to include High Country Pathway, remove ORV/snowmobile trail comment.
  - Stands 54, 55, 64, 34, 44 – Code for SCA removal; no unique values for these stands. \*\*\*
  - Stand 75 – Remove comment in treatment regarding Potential Old Growth (POG), specify to use legacy trees as leave trees.
  - Stand 87 – Change SC to 5C
  - Stand 39 – Add note to treatment specifications to avoid damage to the trail.
  - Update roads and base features to address Oxbow Road type and add missing forest roads
- \*\*\* (need Field Coordinator approval)

### **Compartment 53 (Stand examiner – Jeff Autenrieth)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Update roads and base features
- Stand 1 – Drop treatment as agreed to at compartment pre-review.
- Change site conditions currently coded as 1C to appropriate specific coding.
- Management Objectives for planted red pine stands changed to planted red pine.
- Stands 15, 25, 33, 63, 80, 27, 34 – Specific trail comments need to be added to the treatments layer; Paige Perry will provide guidance.

### **Compartment 56 (Stand examiner – Chad Fate)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stands 29 & 37 – Populate Mid-Range Management Objectives (MRMO) layer to capture retention areas.

### **Compartment 68 (Stand examiner – Chad Fate)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stands 1, 3, 4, 5, 53 – Code for SCA removal. \*\*\* Update SC coding as well.

### **Compartment 82 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Add symbols for non-motorized trails.

### **Compartment 96 (Stand examiner – Kirby Osvold)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 2 – Change to no retention, as only a portion of the stand is being harvested (remainder of the stand will serve as retention).
- Add Opportunistic Field Survey (OFS) point (locked) for archaeological site and refer to it in treatment comments for stand 60.

### **Compartment 99 (Stand examiner – Darrick Coy)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stands 1, 4, 10, and 17 – Add proposed start date for regeneration surveys and change managed site to Natural Regeneration.

### **Compartment 122 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stands 26, 31, 32 – Add comment to note that treatment boundary has been modified to buffer riparian area.
- Stand 32 – Expand treatment to L type boundary (remove buffer).

### **Compartment 136 (Stand examiner – Kirby Osvold)**

No changes made at compartment review.

### **Compartment 142 (Stand examiner – Kirby Osvold)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 56 – Add rabbit habitat specifications to the prescription.

### **Compartment 148 (Stand examiner – Richard Barber)**

No changes made at compartment review.

### **Compartment 156 (Stand examiner – Darrick Coy)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 6 – Delay treatment until October 1, 2020 for portion surrounded by non-motorized trails. For remaining portion, ensure two year contract with no extensions.
- Add locked OFS point for potential archeological site.
- Add Lake Sixteen Ecological Reference Area (ERA) comments to the compartment narrative.
- Add trails symbology for non-motorized trails.

### **Compartment 171 (Stand examiner – Chad Fate)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Update roads and base features (especially to show proposed road closures in Stand 11 & 14).
- Re-post map to show road closure.

### **Compartment 176 (Stand examiner – Darrick Coy)**

Changes made at compartment review:

- Stand 39 – Change to a final harvest (No retention due to small size).
- Stand 47 & 65 – Change proposed start date of regeneration check to October 1, 2014; change managed site to Natural Regeneration).
- Add symbology for non-motorized trails.
- Stand 12, 68, and 37 – Add regeneration survey need to “Next Steps”.