

	Comments
	Vehicular System
1	Leave the snowmobile access as it is. No marked trails, but access for responsible recreational riding with law enforcement patrol. This area offers a break of the heavy traffic on the trail system and is an experience sought by few, but enjoyed very much.
2	good as is.
3	when the plan is finally updated it needs to reflect all the two track roads currently in the forest.
4	Once again the major amount of horses that are backcountry camping has taken a major toll on the back roads as well as the mains. I can only assume that a 1 ton dually pickup pulling a 4/6 place horse trailer and all of the supplies is a lot of weight on a two track or even a main road.
5	I would like to see incresed enforcement of the ban of motorized vehicles on non-motorized trails and areas.
6	I think the snowmobile use should stay the same as it is.Snowmobiles have no impact on soil erotion or wildlife.Let leave the access as it is.
7	I a writing to you regarding banning snowmobile acess in the Pigeon River Forest. I have been riding there most of my life and would be very upset if we can not snowmobile in this beautiful area and enjoy the wildlife! If this ban is put into affect my days of riding in the lower pen. are over. I have ridden there for a long time and have never seen trash left behind or any perminate damage from snowmobilers. I hope that you do not take away this privilage of snowmobiling in the forest!
8	I believe there are enough roads in the PRSF, don't go in without a compass and you'll be OK.
9	Please do not restrict snowmobile travel in this forest area . I have rode snowmobiles in this area many times , and have NEVER seen anyone abuse animals,forest cover,or litter. Thank You.
10	I do not agree with the vehicle access plan. I would prefer all the roads remain open in the forest.
11	Please DO NOT change the current system for snowmobiling in the Pidgeon River Country. My family has enjoyed riding there for almost 20 years. We come from Pennsylvania and enjoy not having to drive the extra 3-4hrs. to get to the UP. Thanks!!
12	page 24 para 2 who pays for the signs and who posts them? page 24 para 3 give the legal interpretation for a forest trail or road. What is your desired road density? page 24 last para don't you want the Advisory Council to have input on these issues? also page 25 para 4.

13	<p>Vehicular System</p> <p>County Roads</p> <p>Page 25: Second Paragraph No thoroughfares are planned. However, road improvements, especially by the Otsego County Road Commission, and a thoroughfare through the Pigeon for oil and gas vehicles to reach Black River Ranch and other east side areas, without having to go around the Pigeon, is fact. The value of the (MOU) agreement with the Otsego Road Commission is extremely questionable.</p> <p>Management Roads</p> <p>Page 26: Second Paragraph REMOVE the following sentence. Haul roads for logging may be planned to become part of the permanent system of managed roads.</p> <p>REASON: At the present time there are far too many forest roads in the PRCSF. (Why encourage more in the future.)</p> <p>Many of the unique wild creatures that inhabit the Pigeon need large areas of seclusion and solitude to survive. (1973 Concept of Management)</p>
14	<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>I find it discouraging that the DNR would even consider administering more restrictions which have the potential to discourage tourists coming to Michigan to enjoy a quiet ride in The Pigeon River State Forest. This is one of my favorite areas to ride with my family on the busy weekends to avoid the congestion of the marked trails. Why should the entire snowmobile industry be punished for the offenses of a few who might abuse this privilege to ride in such a beautiful surroundings? This is similar to closing I-75 because some drivers go over the speed limit and loose control.</p> <p>Michigan should be expanding access to wilderness areas to attract those who would come to see the beauty of this State, from Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, and Ontario, and to encourage Michigan residents to stay home and ride.</p> <p>Please consider this letter to be a no vote on more restrictions to the Pigeon River State Forest, and a yes vote to tourist dollars, and income production for the businesses whose very survival are at stake with each restriction that gets enacted by the DNR, and legislature.</p>
15	<p>Agree as outlined in the Draft.</p>

16	<p>Hello DNR,</p> <p>I believe it is essential to have some type of doctrine to guide us in our management of the forest. I applaud your efforts but must say I have great reservation with the proposed language surrounding snowmobiling in the forest. Snowmobiling has long been considered to be "low impact" when compared to wheeled vehicles. The forest already has areas that are closed to snowmobiles. Please do not place further restrictions on snowmobile access as the forest is one of only a few places that a family can enjoy the sport away from the "race tracks" the state has already created, too often between bars. The very fact that the forest does not have groomed trails, parking lots and bars will keep 90% of the tourist snowmobiling away from our beloved areas.</p> <p>Please don't restrict the many because of the bad deeds of a few. Hunters, hikers, campers, trappers, fishers, bird watchers, skiers and snowmobilers all love the forest and need to share.</p> <p>Thank You</p>
17	<p>The expansion of ATV trails in Michigan needs to stop. I believe the enviromental freaks and tree huggers need to know what is going on with the forests in MI and how the "weekend warriors" are de-grading them. Again, logging is fine - however, block the logging roads when finished.</p>