


Resurvey
Township 1° 37' N. Range 1° E. East. Mer. Mich.



Boundaries of
 this township 1° 37' North Range 1° East Mer. Mich.

19-31

Total number of Acres 28,332.24

Survey designated	By whom surveyed	Date of treatment	Amount of acreage	Notes
Township lines	John Hodgson	May 15 1850	3 21 91	27. Contain 2322
Section lines	George Hanson	October 5 1850	63 26 50	
Section lines	George Hanson	October 5 1850	58 73 85	

This plat of the Township 1° 37' North Range 1° East of the
 Meridian of Michigan is a true and correct copy of the
 original plat of the Township 1° 37' North Range 1° East of the
 Meridian of Michigan as the same is now in possession of
 the Surveyor General of the State of Michigan
 at Lansing Michigan
 1850

Samuel Chapman
 Surveyor


SUPPLEMENTAL PLAT

Section 34, T. 37 N., R. 1 E., Michigan Meridian,
MICHIGAN.

Scale: 1 inch = 10 chains.

19-31

61-3



Washington, D. C., June 1, 1922.

The undersigned hereby certifies that this supplemental plat has been prepared under his general direction in accordance with the field notes of the surveys executed in 1840 and 1854, which have been verified and lettings amended without additional field work.

W. S. G. H. S.
Associate Supervisor of Surveys.

MEMORANDUM

The lots referring to the plats of 1841 and 1854 are designated by the original lot numbers and the year in which the surveys were approved in accordance with the act providing for the disposal of the public lands in 1800, as amended. Lot 4, 1841 plat, patented to the State in 1854; 1/2 SW. 1/4, 1854 plat, patented to Franklin Sashbrook in 1870; fractional S. part of N. 1/2 SW. 1/4, 23.50 acres, free from conflict with title of State, lot 5 is designated on the supplemental plat approved May 20, 1922. Parts (a), (c) and (d) of lot 5, 1841 plat, are in place, part (b) of the same lot is within bed of lake.

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 are revised lettings of land subject to disposal. Area of land subject to disposal = 71.07 acres.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GENERAL LAND OFFICE

Washington, D. C., June 6, 1922.

I hereby certify that the above supplemental plat of Sec. 34, T. 37 N., R. 1 E., Michigan Meridian, Michigan, made in accordance with the provisions of Section 2219, U. S. Revised Statutes, is strictly conformable to the original field notes of the surveys executed in 1840 and 1854, as shown upon the plats approved March 5, 1841, and December 30, 1854, and the amended lettings delineated on this supplemental plat are hereby approved.

William Gray
Commissioner and ex officio
U. S. Surveyor General for Michigan.

Supplemental Plat
of


Lot 6, Sec. 34, Tp. 37N., R. 1E., Mich. Mer.,

MICHIGAN.

Scale, 20 chs to an inch.

19-31-14 ②

19-31



6-20-04

Department of the Interior.

General Land Office.

Washington, D.C., May 2 S. 1904.

I hereby certify that the above is a plat of the subdivisions made in accordance with Section 2219 U.S. Revised Statutes, of Lot 6, Sec. 34, Tp. 37 N., R. 1 E., Mich. Mer., Michigan, and is strictly conformable to the field notes on file in this office.

W. A. Richards

Commissioner and ex-officio
Surveyor General for Michigan.



UNITED STATES ^{660 19-21}
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT
WASHINGTON 25, D. C.

IN REPLY REFER TO

B

November 12, 1952

Department of Conservation
State of Michigan
Lansing 13, Michigan

RECEIVED

NOV 17 1952

Attention: Mr. J. E. Stephansky
Lands Division

LANDS DIVISION

Gentlemen:

Your letter of September 9 concerning the status of certain lands in sec. 34, T. 37 N., R. 1 E., Michigan Meridian, Michigan has just come to my attention.

Lots 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10 of sec. 34, as shown by plat approved June 6, 1922, were included in an approved survey land selection by the State and were patented on October 3, 1923. Patents issued for lots 1, 2, 3 and 4 and the N3/4 were based either on plats approved March 5, 1841 or December 30, 1854 as indicated on the 1922 plat referred to, the year appearing with the lot number on this plat. Title to lot 5 is based upon plat approved May 28, 1904.

In the case of the Dewey Lumber Company v. St. Francis Levee District (323 U.S. 186), the United States Supreme Court said:

"Where public lands are patented according to the official plat of the survey returned to the General Land Office by the Surveyor General, the notes, lines, landmarks and other particulars appearing upon the plat becomes as much a part of the patent and are as much to be considered in determining what it is intended to include as if they were set forth in it. The specification in a patent of the acreage of the Land conveyed is an element of the description; and, while of less influence than other elements, is yet an aid in ascertaining what land was intended."

It appears, therefore, that since patent for lot 3 is based on the 1841 plat there is no conflict as between the patents issued for lots 3 and 6 of this section.

Yours very truly,

Assistant Chief, Division of
Cadastral Engineering

INTEROFFICE COMMUNICATION

Roscommon, Michigan
August 9, 1932

BIG TWIN
LAKES DIVISION

Jac

TO: C. E. Millar, Lands Division

FROM: T. R. Tucker, Land Appraiser

SUBJECT: Unpatented Federal Lands, Cheboygan County
T37N, R1E, Sec. 34, Government Lots 6, 7, 8 and 9.

In working on Case No. 26983-X, Jefferson A. Crawford, R. D. 2, Cheboygan, it had become evident that the above described property on Big Twin Lake, Cheboygan County, was unpatented Federal lands. No record of disposition was contained in the memo, taken from a supplemental plat received from H. S. Gibbs, covering Sec. 34, T37N, R1E. Property contains water frontage, and in part corrects erroneous original survey. All of the land is within the Black Lake State Forest, and is desirable for public ownership. Negotiations toward that end are hereby recommended and suggested.

Work in the area also disclosed the fact that the original survey of 1840, rerun in 1861, was not entirely correct. Original Government Land Office plat calls for 6 Government Lots in Sec. 34. Subsequent revisions of 1856 and 1904 designated additional Lot numbers and revised the original platting. A complete change of Lot numberings and area covered by the individual Government Lots was apparently authorized in a Land Office survey in 1922, which presumably is the present authority for designation of lots. As a case in point, present center of Government Lot 3, Mr. Crawford assumes his ownership to cover land now platted as Government Lot 6. His title rests in tax title procedure. Inasmuch as he infers that he owns what is now Government Lot 6, and is proceeding towards sales and bounds disposal of all of his extensive holdings on the lake, steps would need to be taken to safeguard lands we now own on the lake, and Government Lots we could hope to acquire through exchange with the U.S. Forest Service, and the Bureau of Land Management.

It is, therefore, suggested and recommended that the attention of the Forestry Division be brought to the existence of several Land Office survey plats involving Twin Lake in Towns 36 and 37 North, Range 1 East. A resurvey, in accordance with latest designation of Lot numbers, would seem to me to be necessary to safeguard public property on the lake.

T. R. Tucker
DM

TRT/vm

CC: Borgerson
Bridwood
Delameter

September 9, 1952

Bureau of Land Management
U. S. Department of the Interior
Washington, D. C.

Gentlemen:

Has Government Lot 6, Section 34, T 37 N, R 1 E, State of Michigan, according to the supplemental government survey of 1922 been disposed of by the United States? A memorandum on the plat of this supplementary survey states that this lot along with other lots was subject to disposal.

The present owner of Government Lot 3, according to reports submitted to this office by our field man, is subdividing the lot according to the survey approved December 30, 1854 which includes Lot 6 (SW 1/4 NE 1/4) instead of the 7.00 acres included in the parcel lying east of the fictitious meander line of the 1890 survey.

In this connection will you also advise this office as to which of the other lots resulting from the survey of 1922 remain unpatented? Some of these descriptions are under a proposed exchange with this department and there is serious doubt in our minds as to the present ownership of the new lots created by the survey of 1922.

Yours very truly,

J. D. STEPELECKI
Lands Division

JDS:amd

cc - T. R. Tucker