Ratified by Feer Group in accordance with P.A. 345, 1990	MASTER 3437 PAGE 299
Co. Rep. au 1996 REMONUMENTATION	PROGRAM
Date 17-11-01/2	N CERTIFICATE
Filing Requirement of Act 74,	Mich. P.A. 1970
=or corners in	SEMONINATION 23
Genesee Located In: Corner Code #	30 N 2 0 1997
1. Public Land Survey T8N R7E M-5	83661 STATE OF STATE
T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R	Register of Deeds Stamp & File Number
in Section S T R 3. Miscellaneous S T R	To M
3. Miscellaneous S T R Property in Sec. S T R	U · ¥
	I A B C D E F G H I J K L M
4: Lot No, Recorded Plat	2 6 5 4 3 2 1
	3 4 7 8 9 10 11 12
i, <u>Jack N. Owens, P.S.</u> , in a field survey on <u>November 19.</u> 19 <u>96</u> , do hereby certify that under requirements of P.A. 74, Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner point	
in lines 1 and 2 above were in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as re-	uired in the 6 18 17 16 15 14 13
current manual of survey instructions of the United States Department of the Interior, Burn Management or by a decree of a Court of Law and/or that the corner points mentioned in line	s 3, 4 and 5
above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan Board of Land Surveyors or by a Court of Law; established, re-established, monumented, re-monumented, recovered	
expressed below:	10 30 29 28 27 26 25
NOTE: Not more than 4 corners, all in the same town and range, may be re on this certificate.	corded 11 32 33 34 35 36
	13 35 35 36
A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subset 1821, GLO - J. Wampler, D.S.; set post and 2 accessories. 1870-1890, Unknown County Surveyor; survey of E-W 1/4 line, no exact 1936, GCRC - Notes; ran from corner M-6 along existing Vasser Road N	date, est. Int. 1/4 at midpoint. ly 1562' to end of road bed.
B. Description of corner evidence found and/or method applied in 1996 Remon - Made retracement survey of Sections 12 and 13, and tied in road and ditch occupation on N'ly E-W 1/8 line, Section 13 west of road. Re-	existing road between M-6 and M-5, R/W iron at 33' east of C/L
Our retracement survey shows the E-W 1/4 line of Section 13 was careful photos of Sections 12 and 13. The ditch constructed in the N'ly E-W 1/8 line of Section 13. The photo indicates the N ½ of Section 13 was most like appears the N. line lying West of the Flint River was running due East by contestablished, the N'ly E-W 1/8 line was established at the mean bearing of the was run E'ly from the 1/8 corner on the N-S 1/4 line to the midpoint of the to the 1/8 line collateral evidence of the ditch from the E-W 1/4 line in Semeasured 1330.18 feet from the E-W 14/ line to the 1/8 line and scaled 135/1400 feet from the E-W 1/4 line to the 1/8 line and 1400 feet to a projectic clear that the fences are collated evidence of a survey. The County Su Consequently, we held the E. line at 33.00 West of the found ½" rerod 600' N evidence for the direction. Then we held the E-W 1/8 line ditch as collaterate established the position for M-5 at 2636.44 feet. It is only 1.01 feet E of the for direction by the GLO. we also measured n to the S bank of the Flint Rivabout a ½ chain error. Also, the distance from Corner M-5 to M-4 is 38.91 of North of M-4 the distance again reflects typical measurements. Most of the retracement measurements in this Township. I accept the position as a faithful corner.	of Section 13 is 1318.22 feet N of the Corner M-6 on the E line by subdivided by a surveyor using a proportionate procedure. It impass bearing and the N-S 1/4 line ran North. Having these lines in N and E-W 1/4 lines in the NW 1/4. Then the N'ly E-W 1/8 line in E. line of the Section. On the E line we measured 1318.22 feet action 13 and scaled 1310 feet on the photo. On the W. line we in feet and also 1350 to the n line. On the N-S 1/4 line we scaled on of the N line (which is in the river at that point). Thus it seems inveyors practiced in the area even when not holding the office. of M-6. This also fits the C/L of the existing road and is collateral all evidence for the 1/8 corner at 1318.22 feet north f M-6 and realine between M-6 and M-3 indicating the line was run quite well as 885 or 13.41 chs. The GLO measured 13.98 chs., Indicating chalins indicating more error by the GLO when crossing the river. GLO measurements across the Flint River do not agree with our land careful re-establishment of the position of the original GLO
S15W 15.03' Set nail and gen. Co, tag E face 12" Oak West 28.45' Set nail and Gen. Co, tag N face 8" Pine North 19.18' Set nail and Ge. Co, tag E face 8" Eim OF MICH	Ratified by Genesee County Peer Group December 11, 1996. set the Standard Berntsen Monument SS-A-5-BR-30 (3 1/4" D. Brass cap stamped "Genesee County Remonumentation Corner 20709, 1996") with 30" x 2 3/8" O.D. stainless steel
OWENS PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR No. 20709	802348
Signed by John 1. Owere	Date 12-27-96
Surveyor's Michigan License No. 20709	Form approved by archegun state board of Land Furveyors, Jaz. 28, 1971 Revised May 14, 1975

rm approved by recipionn state board of Land Burneyors, Juli. 28, 1971 Revixed May 14, 1976 Revixed Jan., 1883 Adapted for Word Processag Marcl, 1993

REGIS	TER	OF	DEEDS) ss.
CENESEE	COUN	ITY.	MICHIGAN)

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY, That the within and foregoing, is a true copy of the Record of a LAND CORNER

as recorded on Page 299-300 of Liber 3437 of MASTER LIBER in the Office of Register of Deeds of Genesee County, Michigan. That I have compared the same with the said Record and the same is a true copy.

DEPUTY Register of Deeds for Genesee County Hint, Michigan 48502

& YEMPUSOTER &

700 0 2 YAF

COUNTRACTION.

PGC 1103

Issued..

A. Continued

'1939, Gould Engineering - Unrecorded plat of "Churchill Downs", Ora F. Gould, RLS 989. Shows corner, no description, no accessories. S, line is N. line Orchard Hills & N. line is S. village limits Mt. Morris. Gives distance from S, line plat to Corner A-4 along E. R/W Saginaw.

1952, CPCo - Survey Dwg P14753-A, Frank A. Fisher, RLS 3102. Shows Corner A-4, no description, no accessories. Describes S. line plat Churchill Downs S'ly 1/8 line Sec. 7 "as occupied". Measured between from S'ly 1/8 line.

B. Continued

Surveyor recovered it in 1911. The County Surveyor records for Sec. 6 to the north, done in 1870, show he used proper procedures for a closing 1/4 corner at a proportionate location in Section 6, an even more complicated situation than Sec. 7. This shows they had detailed knowledge of such procedures well over 100 years ago. The 1911 GCRC record shows there was an established, known position for the corner which is only 3 feet north of the midpoint, a reasonable difference for measurements 110 to 140 years ago. Also, it is interesting to note that Geo. Meade listed himself as County Surveyor, Highway Engineer and Superintendent on the 1911 plans. He definitely knew where to look for section corners and what type of monumentation as well as County Surveyor. Meade is known to have been County Surveyor, starting in 1897, replacing Moses Middleton who became Register of Deeds. Do not know how long he held the office other than he signed the 1911 survey as County Surveyor.

We traversed around Section 7 in a retracement survey as part of our Remonumentation procedures. this clearly indicated the monumented corners along the township line, which are long-known positions for M-3, M-4 and M-5 (an 1867 and two 1916 plats, respectively), are the Standard Corners. The GLO distance from M-5 to M-4 had a half-chain error and the proportionate position based on this conclusion is as follow:

X:40.50ch(GLO)::(2680.21' + 2652.24' meas.):(40.50ch + 40.00ch, GLO);

X:40.50ch(GLO)::(5332.50' meas.):(80.50ch, GLO);

X = 2682.81' proportionate distance.

This is only 2 ½ feet different from the actual distance of 2680.21' (40.61 ch.). This helps support the conclusion that Corner A-4 was set properly when first established. The distance from Corner A-4 to A-3 is reasonable as well, 2656.83 feet. This show that the 1939 Gould unrecorded plat of "Churchill Downs" (the name was used by CPCo and is not stated as such on Gould's plat which has no name) had recovered the position. The 1952 CPCo survey was very accurate and tied into their 1950 survey in Section 7 referenced in the Corner Report for Corner C-4 ratified earlier this year. That survey showed a difference of only 0.15 to 0.20 feet per half mile between taped distances compared to our EDM distances and an angle at the SE Corner only 18" different than ours. Therefore, I concluded the position for Corner A-4 could most carefully be re-established from the 1/8 line they surveyed using their distance since it would be less than 0.10 of a foot.

The plat of Orchard Hills is shown since it shows that the "occupied 1/8 line" was long perpetuated. The plat of Brays Addition implied it retraced the E-W 1/4 line but the position would be 40.18 feet north of Corner M-4 or 119.44 feet north of the re-established position of corner A-4. It is not clear what line that plat retrace but it did not have the E-W 1/4 line. I conclude it does not provide any evidence for verifying the location of Corner A-4. The 1911 record shows the plat surveyor didn't have the corner since the County Surveyor had it only two years later.

Lastly, the found ½" rerod 367.74 feet east of the corner and the W. end of the cyclone fence 16 feet east of it are only 0.6 and 0.7 of a foot N. of the straight line from Corner A-4 to C-4. This supports the conclusion that the position was long known and used in surveys along the E-W 1/4 line. The fence diverges from the 1/4 line, being 4.2 S at 1050 feet E., but is not unreasonable for 1/4 line fences in the late 1800's. I accept the above described position as a careful and faithful re-establishment of the position of the original corner.