

LAND CORNER RECORDATION CERTIFICATE

FILING REQUIREMENT OF ACT 74, MICH. P.A.1970

FOR CORNERS OF--:	LOCATED IN--:	CORNER CODE NUMBER
1. PUBLIC LAND SURVEY	T. 26 ^{N.} R. 9 ^{W.}	E-5
2. PROPERTY CONTROLLING IN SECTION	T. ^{N.} R. ^{W.}	
3. MISCELLANEOUS PROPERTY IN SECTION	T. ^{N.} S. R. ^{W.}	
4. LOT NO. _____, RECORDED PLAT _____		
5. PRIVATE CLAIMS _____		

REGISTER OF DEEDS STAMP AND FILING NUMBER _____

I, Carl F. Roser, do hereby certify that under requirements of P.A. 74, Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were, in a field survey on January 28, 1980, established, re-established, monumented, re-monumented, recovered or restored as expressed below and in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management or by a decree of a court of law. Furthermore, that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were, in a field survey on _____, 19____, found, recovered, restored, established or re-established as expressed below and in conformance with the rules of the Michigan State Board of Registration for Land Surveyors or, by a Decree of a Court of law:

~~A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration:~~

*B. (continued)

but have also accepted 1/8 corner 1/4 mile north by Norton's 1965 survey.

E-5

B. Description of corner evidence found and/or method applied in restoring or re-establishing corner:

Fd. & accepted SW cor. S-9 as set by RLS P. Perla in 1965 survey. Perla's field notes (S-16) indicate oak post fd., replaced w/conc. mon. The position of the mon. is 10'± N of a position (in rd. nothing fd. during this survey) by 1965 survey of Sec. 8 & 9 by Norton, Gourdie, Miller & Batzer, Inc.; I suspect the oak post as possibly being an offset marker to proper corner position in road; however, Perla's mon. has subsequently been used by various certificate surveys for oil & gas wells, pipeline ROW's & facilities. The only orig. evidence is a live oak BT to orig. survey (1839) as noted in 1852 resurvey, the orig. corner being 2.80 ch. west & 0.66 ch. south of resurvey corner; thus it is really impossible to prove or disprove a lat. diff. of 10'±. We therefore have accepted (reluctantly) Perla's conc. mon., *

C. Description of monument for corner and accessories established to perpetuate locating the position of the corner:

E-5		
Fd. round conc. mon.	added cedar post w/loc. tag	
16" Oak	N82°W	24.00
18" W. Pine	N61°E	35.48
16" W. Pine	S35°E	53.30
Q. E-W dirt road on curve	Due S	18'±
Q. E-W gas line	Due N	70'±

SIGNED BY: 5-6-80 Carl F. Roser DATE: _____

SURVEYOR'S MICHIGAN REGISTRATION NO. 12028



FOR STATE AND UNITED STATES AGENCIES:

SIGNED BY: _____ DATE: _____
CHIEF OF SURVEY PARTY

APPROVED AND SIGNED BY: _____ DATE: _____
MICHIGAN REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR

(SEAL)

SURVEYOR'S MICHIGAN REGISTRATION NO. _____ GRAND TRAVERSE COUNTY UNION TOWNSHIP