

identified original line tree and the north end to an original township corner. Progressively in a northerly direction from the line tree (bearings determined by solar observation), this three miles reads:  $N0^{\circ}33.3'E$  8.326 ch. to original Sec. Cor. 13, 18, 19 & 24 ( $R = 8.30$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}03.4'E$  12.73 ch. to stream ( $R = 12.72$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}03.4'E$  27.06 ch. to 1/4 cor. 13/18 ( $R = 27.28$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}08.0'W$  39.464 ch. to USFS mon. at Sec. Cor. 7, 12, 13, & 18 ( $R = 40.00$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}08.2'W$  11.697 ch. to W. Pine 14 line tree ( $R = 11.71$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}28.1'E$  28.251 ch. to 1/4 cor. 7/12 ( $R = 28.29$  ch.);  $N0^{\circ}16.3'E$  40.237 ch. to Sec. Cor. 1, 6, 7, & 12 ( $R = 40.00$  ch.); and  $N0^{\circ}12.1'W$  79.431 ch. to Twp. Cor. 1, 6, 31, & 36 ( $R = 79.50$  ch.).

This position conflicts with a monument purporting to be this corner set by the USFS in 1972, which claims identifying the remnants of the original hemlock corner tree stump and the original cedar BT, and further states they found a cedar post in this position. The location was further confirmed, they say, by the distance south 1 chain to a rock ledge and north 2.50 ch. to a swamp edge. I examined the ground in 1974 and could not recognize the hemlock or cedar tree evidence claimed. The rock ledge is suspect as evidence of the original line, as it is not a part of the original notes for the line, but rather is a notation as to where a geological specimen was obtained. I oriented this monument to the other evidence I recovered in the line between Sections 13 and 18, and find that, from the original Sec. Cor. 13, 18, 19 & 24, it bears  $N1^{\circ}03.9'W$  40.263 ch. ( $R = 40.00$  ch.) and from Sec. Cor. 7, 12, 13, & 18, it bears  $S1^{\circ}01.3'W$  39.003 ch. ( $R = 40.00$  ch.). This would make a deflection in the section line at the 1/4 corner of  $2^{\circ}05.2'$ , an anomaly of a magnitude that I have not yet discovered in retracing many other miles of line originally run by Wm. A. Burt. Also, the line from the section corner to the south would not strike the stream at any where near the record distance, because of an abrupt bend in the stream at what I believe is the true crossing.

As I believe the evidence supporting my placement of the corner is more conclusive, I have rejected the USFS corner and established another one at the place indicated in this narrative.