

Signed by _

Surveyor's Michigan License No._

30077

KENT COUNTY REMONUMENTATION

4. Lot No	Number Number
Located International Survey	
Tyrone Twp. 1. Public Land Survey T. R. T	
1. Public Land Survey TON R 12W T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R T R	
1. Public Land Survey TR TR TR TR TR TR TR TR TR T	
2. Property Controlling in Section S. T. R	
2. Property Controlling in Section \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ \$ T R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$ T R \$ T R \$ \$ T R R \$ T R \$	
3. Miscellaneous S T R R Register of Deeds Stamp & File Property in Sec. 4. Lot No. Recorded Plat Register of Deeds Stamp & File Property in Sec. 5. Private Claims Register of Deeds Stamp & File Register of Deeds St	
3. Miscellaneous S T R R Register of Deeds Stamp & File Property in Sec. 4. Lot No. Recorded Plat Register of Deeds Stamp & File Property in Sec. 5. Private Claims Register of Deeds Stamp & File Register of Deeds St	
A. Lot No, Recorded Plat	
4. Lot No, Recorded Plat	3 K L M 2
4. Lot No	3 K L M 2
I, Kirk Breitels in a field survey on Jan. 19 19 99 do hereby state that under requirements of P.A. 74. Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the court of the current manual of survey instructions of the current manual of s	2 - 1 - 12 - 14 - 13 - 14 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 25 - 2
I, Kirk Breitels in a field survey on Jan. 19 19 99 do hereby state that under requirements of P.A. 74. Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the corner of a court of law and or and 2 above were in the current manual of survey instructions of the court of the current manual of survey instructions of the current manual of s	-1413
I, Kirk Breitels , in a field survey on Jan. 19 19 99 do hereby state that under requirements of P.A. 74. Michigan P.A. of 1970, the corner points mentioned in lines 1 and 2 above were in conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 1, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 1, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 1, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the current manual of survey instructions of the conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the current manual of survey instructions of the Michigan current manual of survey instructions of the Michigan current manual of survey instructions of the Michigan curren	-14 13 13 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25
conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the control flaw and for United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management or by a decree of a Court of Law Military and Sabove were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the	-1413
conformance with regulations and rules therefore as required in the control flaw and for United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management or by a decree of a Court of Law Military and Sabove were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines of the Michigan that the	-1413
United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management or by a decise of the Michigan United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management or by a decise of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in conformance with the rules of the Michigan that the corner was previously approved by the Kent County Monument in Mon. Box P.A. 345 1990 KENT COUNTY PEER APPROVED Z/25. PEER APPROVED Z/25. CHAIRMAN PEER APPROVED Z/25. CHAIRMAN PEER APPROVED Z/25. CHAIRMAN PEER APPROVED Z/25. The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Monument in Mon. Box The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumented by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	-2324- -2625
United States Department on the same town and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with that the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with the corner points mentioned in lines 3, 4 and 5 above were in controllated with the corner points mentioned in lines and substituted in corner points mentioned in lines and substituted in corner was previously approved by the Kent County Monument in Mon. Box The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	-23 24 -26 25 -35 36
NOTE: Not more than 2 corners, all in the same town and range, may be recorded on this certificate. A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post PEER APPROVED Z/25. 1931 Kent Co. Wits Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits Variety Iron in Mon. Box 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin Variety Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #1956 Bonnema Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Kent County Monument 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	-2625
NOTE: Not more than 2 corners, all in the same town and range, may be recorded on this certificate. A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post PEER APPROVED 2/25 1931 Kent Co. Wits Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	-26 -25 -
A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post PEER APPROVES Z/25 1931 Kent Co. Wits Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits Y2" Iron in Mon. Box 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin Y2" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema Y2" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumented by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	35 36
A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post 1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	3536
A. Description of original monument and accessories and/or subsequent restoration: G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post PEER APPROVED Z/25 1931 Kent Co. Wits Iron 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	
G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	
G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	
G-9 1837 GLO Whitcomb Set Post Iron 1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	
1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	199
1931 Kent Co. Wits 1971 LCRC #1 Ratekin ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Mon. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monuments by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	Huber
1981 LCRC #1956 Bonnema ½" Iron in Molt. Box 1982 LCRC #2653 Jonker Set Kent County Monument in Mon. Box 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumented by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was recorded per LCRC #2653.	,
1982 LCRC #2033 Sent County Monument 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Monument 1994 LCRC #7584 J. Glaza Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group, monumente The position of this corner was previously approved by the Kent County Remonumentation Peer Group Remonumentation Peer Grou	
by Robert J. Journal on 2 of the state of th	:d
by Robert J. Journal on 2 of the state of th	
by Kobert 3. Johnson on a second of the seco	
there a found and/or method applied in restoring or reestablishing control.	, he
by Robert J. Jonker on 2-8-82 and was 25-85. B. Description of corner evidence found and/or method applied in restoring or reestablishing corner: B. Description of corner evidence found and/or method applied in restoring or reestablishing corner: G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the ½" Iron G-9 Found Kent Co. Mon. (Harrison) per witnesses from Ir	56.
B. Description of corner evidence located and the second above LCRC as set by Robert J. Jonker in place of the 72 days of the	
found in a Work Box 070.10	
H & Dissipant took P POID IV/V IP AVETE IN A Dissipant took (* POID 300) W 12277	•
orm the CM legal tower Not P to Down to P Pole NAA P 112	
Cit top turns pour actions 55 % Cit Tytone i Duois our	
S63°E 54'	
C/L top East utility ped. S61°W 55.6 C/L street sign S63°E 54' The selected location is accepted by me and is generally accepted by professional surveyors as the best available to selected location of the original corner technique to perpetuate locating the position of the corner.	
evidence of the flostion and accessories established to perpendicular to p	esto la company
G-9 Standard Kent County Monument in Mon. Box NE side P. Pole N45°W 70.10'	BICHICA
G-9 Standard Kent County Monument in Monum	100
Nail & KC Remon. tag S. side P. Pole N76°E 152.06 Nail & KC Remon. tag S. side P. Pole S25°W 153.01' BRI	71.01 N ™ 121.
Nail & KC Remon. tag NW side G. Pole S25°W 153.01' Nail & KC Remon. tag NW side P. Pole N40°E 77.24'	CIRK TELS
Nail & KC Remon. tag NW side P. Pole N40°E 77.24 Nail & KC Remon. tag NW side P. Pole N40°E 77.24 Suf	CIRK # 1
C/L Tyrone Ave. is 1' East C/L 18 Mile Rd. is 1' South	CIRK SITELS AND VEYOR
CVF 10 IATHO YOUR IS A	CIRK SITELS AND VEYOR NO DO77
1/10	CIRK SITELS AND EVEYOR NO DOOTT
Date 2/25/99	CIRK STELS AND RVEYOR NO 0077