

to the correct position. Two facts, however, indicate that the proper position for this 1/4 Cor. is not mid point on line. First of all the actual measured distance between the two section corners involved is 5692.1 Ft. while the original survey record shows a distance of 5280.0 Ft., an excess of 412.1 Ft. This indicates that there was a serious error of chainage at some point in the original survey and that the original 1/4 corner position was most likely not mid way between the two section corners. Secondly, there is a privately owned "40" (the S.E. 1/4 of the N.E. 1/4 of Sec. 15) with an established occupation line along its North boundary (a small sq. stake which fits the occupied line was found at the N.E. Cor. of said private "40"). Also, a cabin built about 1947 is directly affected by the position of this 1/4 Cor. The owner of the S.E. 1/4 of the N.E. 1/4 of Sec. 15 states that the property had been surveyed and the corners marked sometime prior to the time the cabin was built and that the occupied line along the North boundary is the result of that survey. There is apparently no written record of such a survey and I recognize the fact that the land owner certainly would have reason to be biased in his statement. Placing the 1/4 Cor. mid point on line between the two section corners would cause the above described cabin to be located on state owned land.

The only other privately owned land affected by this 1/4 Cor. position is the N.E. 1/4 of Section 14. A record search and a field search of the East 1/2 of Section 14 was made and it was discovered that the N.E. Sec. Cor., the S.E. Sec. Cor., and the E. 1/4 Cor. of Sec. 14 were all monumented but the E-W 1/4 line of Section 14 was not marked.

Since the original position of this 1/4 Cor. was almost certainly not mid point on line between the two section corners and since I have been unable to find any sign of the original 1/4 corner stake or its accessories and since the land is flat and low with no feature calls in the original survey record and no precedent has been set for the E-W 1/4 line in either Section 14 or 15, I believe the occupied North line of the S.E. 1/4 of the N.E. 1/4 of Section 15 and the small sq. stake found is the best available evidence of the true position of this 1/4 Cor. Therefore, this 1/4 Cor. was reset on line with and double the distance from the Sec. Cor. common to Sections 10-11-14 & 15 to the small sq. stake found at the North 1/16 Cor. on the Sec. line between Secs. 14 & 15.

Bucklers N.B.T.s were obliterated.